arXiv:1609.03226v1 [math.FA] 11 Sep 2016

BOUNDED HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONAL CALCULUS FOR NONSYMMETRIC ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK OPERATORS

ANDREA CARBONARO AND OLIVER DRAGIČEVIĆ

Abstract. We study bounded holomorphic functional calculus for nonsymmetric infinite dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operators $\mathscr{L}$. We prove that if $-\mathscr{L}$ generates an analytic semigroup on $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$, then $\mathscr{L}$ has bounded holomorphic functional calculus on $L^r(\gamma_\infty)$, $1<r<\infty$, in any sector of angle $\vartheta>\vartheta_r^*$, where γ_∞ is the associated invariant measure and ϑ_r^* the sectoriality angle of $\mathscr{L}$ on $L^r(\gamma_\infty)$. The angle ϑ_r^* is optimal. In particular our result applies to any nondegenerate finite dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator, with dimension-free estimates.

1. Introduction

For every $\vartheta\in(0,\pi)$ we define the open sector

$$\mathbf{S}_\vartheta=\{z\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}:\ |\arg z|<\vartheta\}$$

and we denote by $H^\infty(\mathbf{S}_\vartheta)$ the algebra of all bounded holomorphic functions on $\mathbf{S}_\vartheta$. If $m\in H^\infty(\mathbf{S}_\vartheta)$ we set $\|m\|_\vartheta=\sup\{|m(z)|:z\in\mathbf{S}_\vartheta\}$.

If $\mathscr{A}$ is a linear operator on a complex Banach space X we denote, respectively, by $\sigma(\mathscr{A})$, $\mathrm{D}(\mathscr{A})$, $\mathrm{R}(\mathscr{A})$ and $\mathrm{N}(\mathscr{A})$ the spectrum, the domain, the range and the null-space of $\mathscr{A}$. If $\mathscr{A}$ is bounded then $\|\mathscr{A}\|$ stands for its operator norm. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(X)$ we class of all bounded operators on X.

Let $0\leqslant\vartheta<\pi$. We say that a densely defined closed operator $\mathscr{A}$ on a complex Banach space X is *sectorial of angle* ϑ if $\sigma(\mathscr{A})\subseteq\overline{\mathbf{S}}_\vartheta$ and for all $\vartheta'\in(\vartheta,\pi)$ we have

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbf{S}_{\vartheta'}}|z|\|(\mathscr{A}-zI)^{-1}\|<\infty\,.$$

In such a case the number

$$\omega(\mathscr{A}):=\inf\{\vartheta\in[0,\pi):\mathscr{A}\text{ is sectorial of angle }\vartheta\}$$

is called the *sectoriality angle* of $\mathscr{A}$. Operators which are sectorial of some angle in $[0,\pi)$ will simply be called *sectorial*.

Suppose that $\mathscr{A}$ is a one-to-one sectorial operator with dense range on a complex Banach space X (by [20, Theorem 3.8], on reflexive Banach spaces every one-to-one sectorial operator has dense range). Then, if $\omega(\mathscr{A})<\vartheta<\pi$ and $f\in H^\infty(\mathbf{S}_\vartheta)$, we may define the closed, possibly unbounded operator $f(\mathscr{A})$ in such a way that, for $\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$ and $g\in H^\infty(\mathbf{S}_\vartheta)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha f(\mathscr{A})+g(\mathscr{A})&=(\alpha f+g)(\mathscr{A})|_{\mathrm{D}(f(\mathscr{A}))\cap\mathrm{D}(g(\mathscr{A}))}\\ f(\mathscr{A})g(\mathscr{A})&=(fg)(\mathscr{A})|_{\mathrm{D}(g(\mathscr{A}))\cap\mathrm{D}(fg(\mathscr{A}))}\,.\end{aligned}$$

We refer the interested reader to [20, 42, 60] for an exhaustive treatment of this subject.

Date: September 10, 2016.

Suppose furthermore that $\vartheta \in (\omega(\mathscr{A}), \pi)$. We say that $\mathscr{A}$ has a *bounded* $H^\infty(\mathbf{S}_\vartheta)$-*calculus* if $m(\mathscr{A}) \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ whenever $m \in H^\infty(\mathbf{S}_\vartheta)$ and there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|m(\mathscr{A})\| \leqslant C\|m\|_\vartheta, \quad \forall\, m \in H^\infty(\mathbf{S}_\vartheta).$$

We say that $\mathscr{A}$ has a *bounded* H^∞*-calculus* if it has a bounded $H^\infty(\mathbf{S}_\vartheta)$-calculus for some $\vartheta > \omega(\mathscr{A})$. We define

$$\omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{A}) = \inf\{\vartheta \in (0,\pi) :\ \mathscr{A} \text{ has a bounded } H^\infty(\mathbf{S}_\vartheta)\text{-calculus}\},$$

with the convention that $\omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{A}) = +\infty$ if $\mathscr{A}$ does not have a bounded H^∞-calculus. It follows from definitions that for a sectorial operator $\mathscr{A}$ we always have

$$0 \leqslant \omega(\mathscr{A}) \leqslant \omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{A}). \tag{1}$$

It is an interesting and widely studied problem whether a sectorial operator has a bounded H^∞-calculus [20, 49, 60, 61]. A. McIntosh [60] proved that if $X = \mathcal{H}$ is a complex Hilbert space and $\mathscr{A}$ has a bounded H^∞-calculus on $\mathcal{H}$, then $\omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{A}) = \omega(\mathscr{A})$. It was shown by N. Kalton in [48] that this is not longer true in arbitrary Banach spaces (see also [20, p. 27]), but it is still an open problem whether $\omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{A}) = \omega(\mathscr{A})$ for sectorial operators with a bounded H^∞-calculus on Lebesgue spaces.

Therefore in this context it becomes of interest to explicitly determine the angle $\omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{A})$, even for special classes of operators such as generators of semigroups with kernel bounds (see, for example, [4, 29, 31]), or such as generators of contraction semigroups on Lebesgue spaces which now we describe in more detail.

Let (Ω, μ) be a σ-finite measure space. We say that $(T(t))_{t>0}$ is a *contraction semigroup* on (Ω, μ) if $T(t)$ is a contraction on $L^r(\mu)$ for every $t > 0$ and $r \in [1, \infty]$, and $(T(t))_{t>0}$ is strongly continuous on $L^r(\mu)$ for $1 \leqslant r < \infty$ and weak* continuous on $L^\infty(\mu)$. Denote by $-\mathscr{A}_r$ its generator on $L^r(\mu)$, $1 < r < \infty$. A contraction semigroup is called *symmetric* if $T(t)$, $t > 0$, are self-adjoint on $L^2(\mu)$. It is known that every contraction semigroup is subpositive [12] and [51, Theorems 4.1.2, 4.1.3], therefore it has a dilatation to a group [35, pp. 737-738]. It follows from the Coifman-Weiss transference principle [17, 18] that $\omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{A}_r) \leqslant \pi/2$, for every $r \in (1, \infty)$; see [19, Theorem 2] for the symmetric case and [30, Theorem 2] for the general case.

In this picture, symmetric contraction semigroups deserve a special attention. For generators of symmetric Markovian semigroups the inequality $\omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{A}_r) \leqslant \pi/2$ was originally proved by E. M. Stein [78, Corollary 3, p. 121], while M. Cowling [19, Theorem 2] combined the Coifman-Weiss transference mentioned above with a complex interpolation argument and proved that $\omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{A}_r) \leqslant \pi|1/2 - 1/r|$, $1 < r < \infty$, for all generators of symmetric contraction semigroups. Cowling's result has been improved by P. C. Kunstmann and Ž. Štrkalj [53] in the special case of sub-Markovian semigroups and by C. Kriegler [52, Remark 2] in the case of symmetric contraction semigroups.

For $\rho \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ we will throughout the paper use the convention

$$\rho^* = \pi/2 - \rho\,.$$

Moreover, for $r \in (1, \infty)$ we set $\phi_r = \arccos|1 - 2/r|$. Note that

$$\phi_r^* = \arctan\frac{|r-2|}{2\sqrt{r-1}}.$$

The two authors of the present paper proved in [10] the optimal bound

$$\omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{A}_r) \leqslant \phi_r^*, \quad 1 < r < \infty,$$

for all generators of *symmetric* contraction semigroups (see [10, Theorem 1] for a more accurate statement of this result).

This "universal" multiplier theorem cannot be improved, because as a consequence of a result by J. B. Epperson [34] and of inequality (1) one yields, for all $1 < r < \infty$,

$$\omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{L}^{ou}_r) \geqslant \omega(\mathscr{L}^{ou}_r) = \phi^*_r,$$

where $\mathscr{L}^{ou}$ denotes the symmetric finite dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator with diffusion matrix $Q = I$ and drift matrix $A = I$, that will be defined in (5). See also [37, Theorem 2], [45, Theorem 2.2] and [59] for a sharp multiplier result for $\mathscr{L}^{ou}$.

Note, however, that for some generators of symmetric contraction semigroups it may happen that a sharper bounded functional calculus is available. See, for example, [16, 46, 64, 65].

In this paper we study optimal bounded H^∞-calculus for a specific subclass of generators of nonsymmetric contraction semigroups: the class formed by Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operators on separable real Banach spaces which generate analytic semigroups with respect to associated invariant measures. They will be defined in Section 3 in the nondegenerate finite dimensional case, and in Section 8 in the general case.

Main result. Let $-\mathscr{L}$ denote the generator of an analytic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. We prove in Theorem 10 and Theorem 42 that, for $r \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{L}_r) = \omega(\mathscr{L}_r)\,. \tag{2}$$

The sectoriality angle of $\mathscr{L}_r$ was calculated by R. Chill, E. Fašangová, G. Metafune and D. Pallara [13, Theorem 2 and Remark 6] in the nondegenerate finite-dimensional case, and by J. Maas and J.M.A.M. van Neerven in the general case [57, Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5]. They proved that, for $r \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\omega(\mathscr{L}_r) = \arctan \frac{\sqrt{(r-2)^2 + r^2(\tan \vartheta^*_2)^2}}{2\sqrt{r-1}},$$

where $\vartheta^*_2 = \omega(\mathscr{L}_2)$; see Proposition 2, Remark 6 and Proposition 41. Since for symmetric Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operators one has $\vartheta^*_2 = 0$, we recover in this particular case the above-mentioned Epperson's result [34] and the sharp angle of [10, Theorem 1].

As far as we are aware, our result is the first example of an explicit calculation of the functional calculus angle for any nonsymmetric Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator.

Outline of the proofs. We now briefly describe the technique we utilise for proving equality (2). Our approach is based on [10], which was the first case of Bellman functions being applied for the study of spectral multipliers.

By a general result of M. Cowling, I. Doust, A. McIntosh and A. Yagi [20, Theorem 4.6 and Example 4.8], in order to prove (2) it suffices to establish certain bilinear estimates, of the type (16), involving $\mathscr{L}_r$ and the associated Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup with complex time $te^{\pm i\vartheta}$ for $0 \leqslant \vartheta < \omega(\mathscr{L}_r)^*$. The classical approach for proving (16) is based on square functions; we choose a different approach, avoiding square functions and dealing with bilinear integrals directly.

Namely, in Theorem 15, by using the so-called Nazarov-Treil Bellman function $\mathcal{Q}$ (defined in Section 4) and a heat-flow argument, we reduce the proof of the bilinear estimates (16) to the verification of an integral condition (15) involving the Bellman function $\mathcal{Q}$, the generator $\mathscr{L}_r$ and its adjoint. It turns out that the integral condition (15) of Theorem 15 is nothing but an extension of the classical Lumer-Phillips condition for contraction semigroups in L^r spaces.

We prove that the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator $\mathscr{L}_r$ and the Bellman function $\mathcal{Q}$ satisfy the above-mentioned integral condition (15): in Section 7 we do this in the nonndegenerate finite dimensional case, and in Section 9 in the general case.

The principal ingredient of these proofs is a new convexity property of $\mathcal{Q}$ stated in Theorem 18 and proved in Section 6.

Among other results, for the proof of Theorem 18 we utilise in Proposition 21 the calculation of the analyticity angle of the Ornstein-Uhlembeck semigroup done by Chill, Fašangová, Metafune and Pallara in [13, Theorem 2] and [14, Theorem 1.1].

The reason why the above-described procedure leads to the identification $\omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{L}_r) = \omega(\mathscr{L}_r)$ is that the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operators enjoy the property that the angle $\omega(\mathscr{L}_r)^*$ coincides with the contractivity angle on L^r of the associated semigroup (see Proposition 5, Remark 6 and Proposition 41).

Both in finite dimension (Theorem 10) and in infinite dimension (Theorem 42) we utilise a representation of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator $\mathscr{L}$ in divergence form. While in finite dimension this representation follows from the definition (see (5) and (6)), the analogous formula (43) in infinite dimension is more delicate, and it was proved in [36] and [5] in the Hilbert space setting and extended to the Banach space setting in [57]. Once we get the divergence-form representation (43) of $\mathscr{L}$, the proof of Theorem 42 becomes a natural generalisation of that of Theorem 10.

The theory of infinite dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operators is very rich; see, for example, [15, 22, 38, 39, 40, 56, 79] and the references therein. Therefore, with the aim of highlighting the role played by Bellman function in our proofs, we decided to keep the finite dimensional case separated from the infinite dimensional one.

2. Notation

For each $k \in [0, \infty]$ we denote by $C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the class of bounded complex functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with bounded and continuous partial derivatives up to the order k. If f, g are complex functions on some sets X, Y, respectively, then $f \otimes g$ is the function on $X \times Y$ mapping $(x, y) \mapsto f(x)g(y)$.

We set $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$. If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ we denote its closure by $\overline{\Omega}$.

If $\mathscr{H}$ is a complex Hilbert space, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathscr{H}}$ denotes the inner product on $\mathscr{H}$ and $\mathscr{A}$ is a linear operator on $\mathscr{H}$, then we denote by $W(\mathscr{A})$ the numerical range of $\mathscr{A}$; i.e. we set

$$W(\mathscr{A}) = \{\langle \mathscr{A}h, h\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} : \ h \in \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{A}), \ \|h\|_{\mathscr{H}} = 1\}.$$

If $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{H})$, then we denote respectively by $T_{\mathbf{s}}$ and $T_{\mathbf{a}}$ the symmetric and the anti-symmetric part of T; i.e.

$$T_{\mathbf{s}} = \frac{T + T^*}{2}, \quad T_{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{T - T^*}{2},$$

where T^* is the adjoint of T with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathscr{H}}$. We have a pair of simple yet useful identities:

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle T\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle T_{\mathbf{s}}\xi, \xi \rangle \qquad \text{and} \qquad i\operatorname{Im} \langle T\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle T_{\mathbf{a}}\xi, \xi \rangle . \tag{3}$$

If $\mathcal{H}$ is a real Hilbert space and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we denote, respectively, by $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $T^{\mathbb{C}}$ the complexification of $\mathcal{H}$ and T. If there is no risk of ambiguity, we only write T for denoting the complexification of T.

For $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, we denote by $\mathbb{C}^{n,n}$ the space of all complex $n \times n$ matrices, and by $\mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ its subspace consisting of real $n \times n$ matrices. We canonically identify

matrices in $\mathbb{C}^{n,n}$ with operators acting on the complex Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^n$ endowed with the scalar product

$$\langle z, w\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j \overline{w}_j.$$

If (Ω, μ) is a σ-finite measure space, then the associated complex and real Lebesgue spaces are denote respectively by $L^r(\mu)$ and $L^r_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu)$, $1 \leqslant r \leqslant \infty$.

3. Finite dimensional nonndegenerate Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operators

Let $Q, A \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ be such that Q is symmetric and positive definite and $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{C}_+$. For each $t > 0$ set $S(t) = e^{-tA}$ and define the matrices

$$Q_t = \int_0^t S(u)QS^*(u)\,\mathrm{d}u, \quad Q_\infty = \int_0^\infty S(u)QS^*(u)\,\mathrm{d}u.$$

Assumptions on Q and A ensure that Q_t and Q_∞ are well defined, symmetric and positive definite. A simple calculation (see for example [63, Lemma 2.1]) shows that Q_∞ solves the Lyapunov equation

$$AQ_\infty + Q_\infty A^* = Q. \tag{4}$$

For each $t \in (0, \infty]$ we denote by γ_t the centered Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with covariance matrix Q_t; i.e. we set

$$\mathrm{d}\gamma_t(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}(\det Q_t)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\langle Q_t^{-1}x, x\rangle}{2}\right)\mathrm{d}x, \quad t \in (0, \infty].$$

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup $(T(t))_{t>0}$ associated with $(S(t))_{t>0}$ and Q is defined on, say, $C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the Kolmogorov's formula

$$T(t)f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(S(t)x + y)\,\mathrm{d}\gamma_t(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n\,.$$

It is well-known that the measure γ_∞ is invariant under the action of $T(t)$, $t > 0$, and that $(T(t))_{t>0}$ extends to a positivity preserving semigroup of contractions on $L^r(\gamma_\infty)$, $1 \leqslant r \leqslant \infty$, which is strongly continuous for $1 \leqslant r < \infty$ and weak* continuous for $r = \infty$ (see, for example, [55, Chapter 9] and the references therein).

We will denote by $-\mathscr{L}_r$ the generator of $(T(t))_{t>0}$ on $L^r(\gamma_\infty)$, $1 \leqslant r < \infty$. It is known that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a core for $\mathscr{L}_r$; see [62, 63] and [55, Lemma 9.3.13]. The action of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is explicitly given by the formula

$$\mathscr{L}f(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{div}\,(Q\nabla f)(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), Ax\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{5}$$

We call Q and A, respectively, the *diffusion* and the *drift* matrix of $\mathscr{L}$. The usual symmetric finite-dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator is obtained from this scheme by choosing $Q = A = I$.

Denote by ∇^*_∞ the formal adjoint of ∇ with respect to the scalar product in $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$. Then for every $\omega \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$ we have

$$\nabla^*_\infty \omega(x) = -\mathrm{div}\omega(x) + \left\langle \omega(x), Q_\infty^{-1}x\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

From this and the identity (4), one rapidly sees that

$$\mathscr{L}f = \nabla^*_\infty(Q_\infty A^* \nabla f), \quad f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{6}$$

Set

$$B = Q_\infty A^*.$$

Identity (4) reads as $B_{\mathbf{s}} = Q/2$, so that

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle B\xi,\xi\rangle = \langle B_{\mathbf{s}}\xi,\xi\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\langle Q\xi,\xi\rangle \geqslant \lambda|\xi|^2, \quad \xi\in\mathbb{C}^n, \tag{7}$$

for some $\lambda > 0$. Therefore, B is a strictly accretive real matrix.

Analitcity of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. Consider the sesquilinear form on $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{a}(f,g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle B\nabla f, \nabla g\rangle \,\mathrm{d}\gamma_\infty, \quad \mathrm{D}(\mathfrak{a}) = W^{1,2}(\gamma_\infty), \tag{8}$$

where

$$W^{1,2}(\gamma_\infty) = \left\{ f\in L^2(\gamma_\infty) : \partial_i f \in L^2(\gamma_\infty),\ i=1,\dots,n\right\}.$$

It follows from (7) that the form $\mathfrak{a}$ is densely defined, closed, continuous and accretive. Therefore, by means of the theory of sesquilinear forms [50, 72], $\mathfrak{a}$ defines an accretive operator on $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$, that we temporarily denote by $(L_2, \mathrm{D}(L_2))$. It is known that, in fact, $L_2 = \mathscr{L}_2$; see [32, Theorem 1.2 in Appendix A].

Remark 1. It is known [55, Proposition 9.3.10] that $\mathscr{L}_2$ is self-adjoint if and only if the matrix $B = Q_\infty A^*$ is symmetric. By identity (4), this is equivalent to $B = Q/2$.

Inequality (7) implies that the numerical range of B is contained in some sector of angle less than $\pi/2$. Let $\vartheta_2^* = \vartheta_2^*(B) \in [0,\pi/2)$ be the *smallest* such angle, i.e. $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_{\vartheta_2^*}$ is the smallest closed sector containing $W(B)$. It follows from the definition of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator by means of the sesquilinear form $\mathfrak{a}$ that $W(\mathscr{L}_2) \subseteq W(B) \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{S}}_{\vartheta_2^*}$. Consequently, by Lumer-Phillips theorem the semigroup $(T(t))_{t>0}$ extends to an analytic contraction semigroup on $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$ in the sector $\mathbf{S}_{\vartheta_2}$; see, for example, [72, Theorem 1.54]. It was proved in [13, Remark 2 and Remark 6] that

$$\vartheta_2^* = \arctan\left\| Q^{-1/2}(B-B^*)Q^{-1/2}\right\|, \tag{9}$$

and that ϑ_2^* coincides with the *spectral angle* of $Q^{-1/2}BQ^{-1/2}$ [13, p. 705 and 708], that is, ϑ_2^* is the smallest angle φ such that $\sigma(Q^{-1/2}BQ^{-1/2})$ is contained in $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_\varphi$. See also (22) and (44).

Moreover, in [13, Theorem 1 and Remark 6] the domain of analyticity of the semigroup on $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$ was characterised. In particular it was proved that the angle ϑ_2 is optimal; i.e. $(T(t))_{t>0}$ does not have a bounded analytic extension to any sector larger than $\mathbf{S}_{\vartheta_2}$. Namely we have the following result.

Proposition 2 ([13])**.** *Let $\vartheta_2^* = \vartheta_2^*(B)$ be as above. Then,*

(i) *$(T(t))_{t>0}$ extends to an analytic contraction semigroup on $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$ in the sector $\mathbf{S}_{\vartheta_2}$.*
(ii) *If $(T(t))_{t>0}$ extends to a bounded analytic semigroup on $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$ in the sector $\mathbf{S}_\vartheta$, for some $\vartheta\in(0,\pi/2)$, then $\vartheta \leqslant \vartheta_2$.*

Remark 3. It follows from (9) and Remark 1 that $\vartheta_2 = \pi/2$ if and only if $\mathscr{L}_2$ is self-adjoint; see also [13, Remark 3].

We next turn to the analyticity properties of $T(t)$ on $L^r(\mu_\infty)$ for $1 < r < \infty$, $r \neq 2$.

Notation 4. Following [13], for $1 < r < \infty$ and with ϑ_2^* as on page 6, define

$$\vartheta_r^* = \vartheta_r^*(B) = \arctan \frac{\sqrt{(r-2)^2 + r^2(\tan \vartheta_2^*)^2}}{2\sqrt{r-1}}\,. \tag{10}$$

An equivalent way of introducing $\vartheta_r^* \in [0, \pi/2)$ is through the identity

$$\sin \vartheta_r = \sin \phi_r \sin \vartheta_2\,, \tag{11}$$

where $\vartheta_r = \pi/2 - \vartheta_r^*$ and $\phi_r = \arccos|1 - 2/r|$. It follows directly from (10) or (11) that $\vartheta_r^* = \vartheta_{r/(r-1)}^*$ for $1 < r < \infty$.

The following result, proved by Chill, Fašangová, Metafune and Pallara, extends Proposition 2 to the case $r \neq 2$ and it is closely related to our paper.

Proposition 5 ([13, Theorem 2 and Remark 6])**.** *Suppose that $1 < r < \infty$. Then,*

(i) *$(T(t))_{t>0}$ extends to an analytic contraction semigroup on $L^r(\gamma_\infty)$ in the sector $\mathbf{S}_{\vartheta_r}$.*

(ii) *If $(T(t))_{t>0}$ extends to a bounded analytic semigroup on $L^r(\gamma_\infty)$ in the sector $\mathbf{S}_\vartheta$, for some $\vartheta \in (0, \pi/2]$, then $\vartheta \geqslant \vartheta_r$.*

In the special case when $\mathscr{L}_2$ is self-adjoint (by Remark 1, it corresponds to the case when $B = B^* = Q/2$), the semigroup $(T(t))_{t>0}$ is Markovian and $\vartheta_2^* = 0$. Therefore, Proposition 5 (i) is just a particular case of a more general result that holds for all symmetric contraction semigroups; see [1, Théorème 3] for the case of diffusion semigroups, [54, Corollary 3.2] for the case of sub-Markovian semigroups, and [52, Corollary 6.2] for the general case. See also [10, Remark 34] and [43, 44].

Note also that when $A = Q = I$, Proposition 5 is a consequence of a more precise result by Epperson [34].

Remark 6. Proposition 5 gives (see e.g. [42, Proposition 3.4.4] or [33, Section 4 in Chapter II] for details) that for $1 < r < \infty$,

$$\omega(\mathscr{L}_r) = \vartheta_r^*. \tag{12}$$

Bounded H^∞-calculus. To the best of our knowledge, in the case when $\mathscr{L}$ is non-symmetric, the following are the best results concerning the bounded H^∞-calculus of $\mathscr{L}_r$, $1 < r < \infty$, that can be recovered from the existing literature.

First notice that $\mathscr{L}_r$ is a sectorial operator that is not one-to-one, but this can be easily fixed since $\mathrm{N}(\mathscr{L}_r) = \{\text{constant functions}\}$ (see e.g. [55, Theorem 8.1.16]) and the projection $\mathscr{P}_r$ onto the null space is given by the formula

$$\mathscr{P}_r f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \,\mathrm{d}\gamma_\infty, \quad f \in L^r(\gamma_\infty), \quad 1 < r < \infty. \tag{13}$$

Therefore, for every $r \in (1, \infty)$, we have that $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(\mathscr{L}_r) = L_0^r(\gamma_\infty) = \{f \in L^r(\gamma_\infty) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \,\mathrm{d}\gamma_\infty = 0\}$, and $\mathscr{L}_r$ is a sectorial one-to-one operator with dense range on $L_0^r(\gamma_\infty)$. Hence the functional calculus devised in [20, 60] applies to our case.

Lemma 7 (Bounded functional calculus for $\mathscr{L}_2$)**.** *We have that*

$$\omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{L}_2) = \omega(\mathscr{L}_2) = \vartheta_2^*,$$

and

$$\|m(\mathscr{L}_2) f\|_2 \leqslant \left(2 + 2/\sqrt{3}\right) \|m\|_\vartheta \|f\|_2, \quad f \in L_0^2(\gamma_\infty),$$

for every $\vartheta \in (\vartheta_2^*, \pi)$ and for all $m \in H^\infty(\mathbf{S}_\vartheta)$.

Proof. By combining inequality (1) with (12) for $r = 2$, we obtain $\omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{L}_2) \geqslant \omega(\mathscr{L}_2) = \vartheta_2^*$. The corollary now follows by combining Proposition 2 (i) with a result of Crouzeix and Delyon [21] (see also [42, Corollary 7.1.17]). □

Since $(T(t))_{t>0}$ is a positivity preserving contraction semigroup on $L^r(\gamma_\infty)$ for any $1 < r < \infty$, one may combine Lemma 7 with the transference technique of Coifman-Weiss [17, 18] and with a complex interpolation argument in order to study bounded H^∞-calculus of $\mathscr{L}_r$, $1 < r < \infty$. This was pointed out by Cowling [19] in the symmetric case and by Duong [30] in the nonsymmetric one.

Proposition 8. *Suppose that $1 < r < \infty$, $r \neq 2$. Then*

$$\omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{L}_r) \leqslant \vartheta_2^* + \vartheta_2 \left|1 - 2/r\right|.$$

More precisely, if $\vartheta_2^* + \vartheta_2 \left|1 - 2/r\right| < \vartheta < \pi$, then there exists $C(r, \vartheta) > 0$ such that

$$\|m(\mathscr{L}_r) f\|_p \leqslant C(r, \vartheta) \|f\|_\vartheta \|f\|_r$$

for all $m \in H^\infty(\mathbf{S}_\vartheta)$ and $f \in L_0^r(\gamma_\infty)$.

Proof. Let $1 < s < \infty$. Since $(T(t))_{t>0}$ is a strongly continuous, contractive and positivity preserving semigroup on $L_0^s(\gamma_\infty)$, by Coifman-Weiss transference technique $\mathscr{L}_s$ has a bounded $H^\infty(\mathbf{S}_{\vartheta'})$-calculus, for every $\vartheta' > \pi/2$ [17, 18, 19, 30]. In particular, for every $\vartheta' > \pi/2$,

$$\left\|\mathscr{L}_s^{iu} f\right\|_s \leqslant C(s, \vartheta') e^{\vartheta' |u|} \|f\|_s, \quad f \in L_0^s(\gamma_\infty), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Lemma 7 implies that, for every $\vartheta'' > \vartheta_2^*$,

$$\left\|\mathscr{L}_2^{iu} f\right\|_2 \leqslant \left(2 + 2/\sqrt{3}\right) e^{\vartheta'' |u|} \|f\|_2, \quad f \in L_0^2(\gamma_\infty), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By interpolating the two estimates above, for every $\vartheta > \vartheta_2^* + \vartheta_2 \left|1 - 2/r\right|$,

$$\left\|\mathscr{L}_r^{iu} f\right\|_r \leqslant C(r, \vartheta) e^{\vartheta |u|} \|f\|_p, \quad f \in L_0^r(\gamma_\infty), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The proposition now follows from [20, Theorem 5.4]. □

Remark 9. If one is just interested in proving that $\omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{L}_r) < \pi/2$, instead of the argument above one can use [49, Corollary 5.2 and Theorem 5.3] (see also [58, Lemma 8.4]).

Main theorem in the finite dimensional case. One of the principal aims of this paper is to improve Proposition 8 by obtaining the sharp angle in the bounded holomorphic functional calculus for the finite dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator $\mathscr{L}_r$, $1 < r < \infty$. This is our result:

Theorem 10. *For each $r \in (1, \infty)$ let ϑ_r^* be the angle defined in* (10)*. Then,*

$$\omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{L}_r) = \omega(\mathscr{L}_r) = \vartheta_r^*.$$

Moreover, for every $\vartheta > \vartheta_r^*$ there exists $C > 0$ which depends only on r, ϑ and ϑ_2^*, such that

$$\|m(\mathscr{L}_r) f\|_r \leqslant C \|m\|_\vartheta \|f\|_r, \quad f \in L_0^r(\gamma_\infty),$$

for all $m \in H^\infty(\mathbf{S}_\vartheta)$.

The proof of Theorem 10 is postponed to Section 7. Note that a simple calculation based on (10) or (11) shows that $\vartheta_r^* < \vartheta_2^* + \vartheta_2 \left|1 - 2/r\right|$ for $r \in (1, \infty) \backslash \{2\}$, i.e., Theorem 10 indeed improves Proposition 8.

Remark 11. When $\mathscr{L}_2$ is symmetric (i.e. when $\vartheta_2^* = 0$) Theorem 10 is a particular case of a universal multiplier result previously proved by the two authors of the present paper [10, Theorem 1]. In the special case when $Q = A = I$, Theorem 10 is a consequence of [37, 59], apart from the fact that the estimates of the norms in [37, 59] depend on the dimension.

Remark 12. One could study bounded H^∞-calculus for degenerate Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operators; i.e. Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operators whose diffusion part is associated with a nonnegative and symmetric quadratic form Q with $\mathrm{N}(Q) \neq \{0\}$. We will show in Section 8 and Section 9 that an analogue of Theorem 10 holds in the degenerate case under the assumption that the associated Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup is analytic on $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$ in some sector of positive angle; see Theorem 42. Note however that degenerate Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups may fail to be analytic [36, 39]; if this is the case then clearly $\omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{L}_r) \geqslant \omega(\mathscr{L}_r) \geqslant \pi/2$. Note also that when $\mathrm{N}(Q_\infty) = \{0\}$, by a result of B. Goldys [40, Corollary 2.6] the nondegeneracy condition $\mathrm{N}(Q) = \{0\}$ is necessary for the analyticity of the associated finite dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup.

4. Bounded H^∞-calculus via Nazarov-Treil Bellman function

Unless specified otherwise, we assume everywhere in this section that $p \geqslant 2$ and $q = p/(p-1)$. Fix $\delta > 0$. The Bellman function we use is the function $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_{p,\delta} : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by

$$\mathcal{Q}(\zeta,\eta) = |\zeta|^p + |\eta|^q + \delta \begin{cases} |\zeta|^2 |\eta|^{2-q} & ; \ |\zeta|^p \leqslant |\eta|^q \\ \dfrac{2}{p}\,|\zeta|^p + \left(\dfrac{2}{q} - 1\right)|\eta|^q & ; \ |\zeta|^p \geqslant |\eta|^q\,. \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

The origins of $\mathcal{Q}$ lie in the paper of F. Nazarov and S. Treil [68]. A modification of their function has been later applied by A. Volberg and the second author in [26, 28]. Here we use a simplified variant which comprises only two variables. It was introduced in [27] and used by the present authors in [10, 11].

The construction of the original Nazarov–Treil function in [68] was one of the earliest examples of the so-called Bellman function technique, which was introduced in harmonic analysis shortly beforehand by Nazarov, Treil and Volberg [66]. The name "Bellman function" stems from the stochastic optimal control, see [67] for details. The same paper [67] explains the connection between the Nazarov–Treil–Volberg approach and the earlier work of Burkholder on martingale inequalities, see [7] and also [8, 9]. For an in-depth treatise on recent advances in martingale inequalities the reader is referred to [71]. If interested in the genesis of Bellman functions and the overview of the method, the reader is also referred to [68, 81, 82]. The method has seen a whole series of applications, yet until recently (see [10, 11]) mostly in Euclidean harmonic analysis.

In the course of the last few years, the Nazarov–Treil function considered here was found to possess nontrivial properties [10, 11, 28] that reach much beyond the need for which it had been originally constructed in [68]. In the present paper we continue the exploration of the convexity properties of $\mathcal{Q}$ (see Theorem 18 and Remark 19).

It is a direct consequence of the definition above that the function $\mathcal{Q}$ belongs to $C^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$, and is of order C^2 everywhere *except* on the set

$$\Upsilon_0 = \{(\zeta,\eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 : (\eta = 0) \vee (|\zeta|^p = |\eta|^q)\}\,.$$

For $\zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^2$ write $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$, $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2)$ and define

$$\partial_\zeta = \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\zeta_1} - i\partial_{\zeta_2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_\eta = \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\eta_1} - i\partial_{\eta_2}\right).$$

The following estimates are also a straightforward consequence of the definition of $\mathcal{Q}$.

Proposition 13. *For every $(\zeta, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ we have*

$$0 \leqslant \mathcal{Q}(\zeta, \eta) \leqslant (1+\delta)\left(|\zeta|^p + |\eta|^q\right),$$

and

$$2|(\partial_\zeta \mathcal{Q})(\zeta, \eta)| \leqslant (p + 2\delta)\max\{|\zeta|^{p-1}, |\eta|\},$$
$$2|(\partial_\eta \mathcal{Q})(\zeta, \eta)| \leqslant (q + (2-q)\delta)|\eta|^{q-1}.$$

Remark 14. It is sometime useful to think of $\mathcal{Q}$ as a function defined on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$, by using the canonical identification of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $\mathbb{C}$. This fact will be often implicitly used in this paper.

We now state an abstract result, which is an extension to the nonsymmetric case of an analogous technique used by present authors for proving a universal multiplier theorem for generators of symmetric contraction semigroups [10, Section 4, Remark 34].

Theorem 15. *Let (Ω, μ) be a σ-finite measure space, and let $\mathscr{A}$ be a closed, densely defined and one-to-one operator on $L^p(\mu)$. Let $\delta \in (0,1)$ and let $\mathcal{Q}$ be the Bellman function associated with δ. Suppose that there exist $\vartheta \in [0, \pi/2)$ and $C_0 > 0$ such that*

$$C_0 \left| \int_\Omega \mathscr{A} f \cdot \overline{g}\, \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \leqslant 2\mathrm{Re} \int_\Omega \left(e^{\pm i\vartheta} (\partial_\zeta \mathcal{Q})(f,g) \mathscr{A} f + e^{\mp i\vartheta} (\partial_\eta \mathcal{Q})(f,g) \mathscr{A}^* g \right) \mathrm{d}\mu, \qquad (15)$$

for all $f \in \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{A})$ and every $g \in \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{A}^*)$. Then,

(i) *$-\mathscr{A}$ is the generator of an analytic contraction semigroup on $L^p(\mu)$ in the sector $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_\vartheta$.*

(ii) *$-\mathscr{A}^*$ is the generator of an analytic contraction semigroup on $L^q(\mu)$ in the sector $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_\vartheta$.*

(iii) *For every $\vartheta' > \vartheta^*$, the operator $\mathscr{A}$ has bounded $H^\infty(\mathbf{S}_{\vartheta'})$-calculus on $L^p(\mu)$. That is, there exists $C_1 = C_1(p, \vartheta', C_0) > 0$ such that for all $m \in H^\infty(\mathbf{S}_{\vartheta'})$,*

$$\|m(\mathscr{A})f\|_p \leqslant C_1 \|m\|_{\vartheta'} \|f\|_p, \quad f \in L^p(\mu).$$

Proof. It follows from (14) that $Q(\zeta, 0) = |\zeta|^p$ and $Q(0, \eta) = |\eta|^q$, up to some positive multiplicative constants. Thus, by taking separately $g = 0$ and $f = 0$ in (15), we get

$$\mathrm{Re}\left(e^{\pm i\vartheta} \int_\Omega \bar{f}|f|^{p-2} \mathscr{A} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu \right) \geqslant 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{Re}\left(e^{\pm i\vartheta} \int_\Omega \bar{g}|g|^{q-2} \mathscr{A}^* g \, \mathrm{d}\mu \right) \geqslant 0.$$

Items (i) and (ii) are now a consequence of the well-known Lumer-Phillips theorem; see e.g. [73, Corollary 4.4].

In order to prove (iii), we combine the complex-time-heat-flow technique, developed in [10, Section 4] by the present authors, with a result by Cowling, Doust, McIntosh and Yagi [20] which relates functional calculus for a sectorial operator with bilinear estimates involving the semigroup generated by the sectorial operator.

It follows from (i) that $\mathscr{A}$ is (one-to-one and) sectorial of angle $\omega(\mathscr{A}) \leqslant \vartheta^*$, see e.g. [42, Proposition 3.4.4]. Denote by $(T(t))_{t>0}$ the semigroup generated by $-\mathscr{A}$ on $L^p(\mu)$. Then $(T^*(t))_{t>0}$ is the semigroup generated by $-\mathscr{A}^*$ on $L^q(\mu)$. Therefore, by

[20, Theorem 4.6 and Example 4.8], part (iii) will follow once that we have proved the following bilinear estimate,

$$\int_0^\infty \left| \int_\Omega \mathscr{A} T(te^{\pm i\vartheta})(u) \overline{T^*(te^{\mp i\vartheta})(v)}\, \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \mathrm{d}t \leqslant C_2 \|u\|_p \|v\|_q, \tag{16}$$

for all $u \in L^p(\mu)$, for every $v \in L^q(\mu)$ and for some $C_2 = C_2(p, C_0) > 0$.

For the purpose of proving (16) we apply the heat-flow technique developed in [10]. Fix $u \in L^p(\mu)$, $v \in L^q(\mu)$ and consider the functional

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \int_\Omega \mathcal{Q}\left(T(te^{\pm i\vartheta})(u), T^*(te^{\mp i\vartheta})(v) \right) \mathrm{d}\mu, \quad t \geqslant 0.$$

Estimates of Proposition 13 ensure that $\mathcal{E}$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$, differentiable on $(0, \infty)$ with a continuous derivative and

$$\mathcal{E}'(t) = \int_\Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{Q}(T(te^{\pm i\vartheta})(u), T^*(te^{\mp i\vartheta})(v))\, \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

A straightforward calculation shows that, for every $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{E}'(t) = 2\mathrm{Re} \int_\Omega \Big[& e^{\pm i\vartheta} \left(\partial_\zeta \mathcal{Q}\right) \left(T(te^{\pm i\vartheta})(u), T^*(te^{\mp i\vartheta})(v)\right) \cdot \mathscr{A} T(te^{\pm i\vartheta})(u) \\ & + e^{\mp i\vartheta} \left(\partial_\eta \mathcal{Q}\right) \left(T(te^{\pm i\vartheta})(u), T^*(te^{\mp i\vartheta})(v)\right) \cdot \mathscr{A}^* T^*(te^{\mp i\vartheta})(v) \Big] \, \mathrm{d}\mu. \end{aligned}$$

By (i) and (ii), for every $t > 0$ we have $T(te^{\pm i\vartheta})(u) \in \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{A})$ and $T^*(te^{\mp i\vartheta})(v) \in \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{A}^*)$. Therefore, it follows from the assumption (15) that

$$-\mathcal{E}'(t) \geqslant C_0 \left| \int_\Omega \mathscr{A} T(te^{\pm i\vartheta})(u) \overline{T^*(te^{\mp i\vartheta})(v)}\, \mathrm{d}\mu \right|, \quad t > 0.$$

By integrating from 0 to ∞ both sides of the inequality above, and using the first estimate in Proposition 13, we obtain

$$C_0 \int_0^\infty \left| \int_\Omega \mathscr{A} T(te^{\pm i\vartheta})(u) \overline{T^*(te^{\mp i\vartheta})(v)}\, \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \mathrm{d}t \leqslant (1+\delta) \left(\|v\|_p^p + \|v\|_q^q \right).$$

The bilinear estimate (16) (hence the theorem) now follows by replacing u with ku and v with v/k and minimising with respect to $k > 0$ the right-hand side of the inequality above. □

Remark 16. When $\vartheta = 0$, similar heat-flow techniques corresponding to Bellman functions have so far been employed in the Euclidean case [25, 26, 27, 28, 74, 80] and recently also in the Riemannian case [11]. For a different perspective on heat-flow techniques, various examples and references we refer the reader to the papers by Bennett et al. [2, 3].

5. Convexity of the Bellman function.

In this section we state the convexity result (Theorem 18) that will be the principal ingredient of the proof of Theorem 10. The very same convexity result will be used again for proving the infinite dimensional analogue of Theorem 18 (see Theorem 42). For this reason we state Theorem 18 in rather general form. In order to do that we first fix more notation.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a real (possibly infinite dimensional) separable Hilbert space. Recall that we denoted by $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}$ its complexification. If $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ we use the notation

$$\tilde{\xi} = \xi_1 + i\xi_2 \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}.$$

We write $\xi_1 = \operatorname{Re}\tilde{\xi}$ and $\xi_2 = \operatorname{Im}\tilde{\xi}$.

If $D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}})$, denote respectively by $\operatorname{Re} D$ and $\operatorname{Im} D$ the real and the imaginary part of D. Note that $\operatorname{Re} D, \operatorname{Im} D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$; by abuse of notation we use the same symbol for denoting their complexifications, so that we write

$$D = (\operatorname{Re} D) + i(\operatorname{Im} D).$$

We introduce the following bounded operator matrix acting on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathcal{H}$

$$\mathcal{M}(D) = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re} D & -\operatorname{Im} D \\ \operatorname{Im} D & \operatorname{Re} D \end{bmatrix}.$$

Observe that $\mathcal{M}(D^*) = \mathcal{M}(D)^*$ and $\mathcal{M}(DE) = \mathcal{M}(D)\mathcal{M}(E)$, for all $D, E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}})$, and that

$$\langle \mathcal{M}(D)\alpha, \beta\rangle_{\mathcal{H}\times\mathcal{H}} = \operatorname{Re}\langle D\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}}, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}. \tag{17}$$

Notation 17. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a real separable Hilbert space. Suppose that $D, E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}})$, $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$. For all $s \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^4$, and for every $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathcal{H}^2$ and $\omega = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathcal{H}^4$, we set

$$H^D_\Psi[s;\xi] = \langle (\operatorname{Hess}(\Psi; s) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})\xi, \mathcal{M}(D)\xi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2}$$

and

$$H^{(D,E)}_\Phi[v;\omega] = \langle (\operatorname{Hess}(\Phi; v) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})\omega, [\mathcal{M}(D) \oplus \mathcal{M}(E)]\,\omega\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^4}.$$

In block notation,

$$H^D_\Psi[s;\xi] = \left\langle \operatorname{Hess}(\Psi; s) \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re} D & -\operatorname{Im} D \\ \operatorname{Im} D & \operatorname{Re} D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2}$$

and

$$H^{(D,E)}_\Phi[v;\omega] = \left\langle \operatorname{Hess}(\Phi; v) \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re} D & -\operatorname{Im} D & & \\ \operatorname{Im} D & \operatorname{Re} D & & \\ & & \operatorname{Re} E & -\operatorname{Im} E \\ & & \operatorname{Im} E & \operatorname{Re} E \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^4}.$$

Note that for every $s \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathcal{H}^2$, the map $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}) \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by $D \mapsto H^D_\Psi[s;\xi]$, is $\mathbb{R}$-linear. In particular, for $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\vartheta \in [0, \pi/2]$ we have

$$H^{e^{\pm i\vartheta}R}_\Psi[s;\xi] = \cos\vartheta \cdot H^R_\Psi[s;\xi] \pm \sin\vartheta \cdot H^{iR}_\Psi[s;\xi]. \tag{18}$$

If $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ one has $H^R_\Psi[s;\xi] = H^{R^*}_\Psi[s;\xi]$, so that $H^R_\Psi[s;\xi] = H^{R_{\mathbf{s}}}_\Psi[s;\xi]$. In particular, if $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is accretive (i.e. if $R_{\mathbf{s}}$ is nonnegative) we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^R_\Psi[s;\xi] &= H^I_\Psi\left[s; \left(R_{\mathbf{s}}^{1/2}\xi_1, R_{\mathbf{s}}^{1/2}\xi_2\right)\right] \\ &= \left\langle \operatorname{Hess}(\Psi; s) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} R_{\mathbf{s}}^{1/2}\xi_1 \\ R_{\mathbf{s}}^{1/2}\xi_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} R_{\mathbf{s}}^{1/2}\xi_1 \\ R_{\mathbf{s}}^{1/2}\xi_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal base of $\mathcal{H}$. Then for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathcal{H}^2$ one has

$$H_{\Psi}^{I}[s;\xi] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\langle \mathrm{Hess}(\Psi; s) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{1,j} \\ \xi_{2,j} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{1,j} \\ \xi_{2,j} \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}, \tag{20}$$

where $\xi_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_{i,j} e_j$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Numerical range angle. Fix a real separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Suppose the operator $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is *strictly accretive*; i.e. suppose that $\langle B\xi, \xi\rangle \geqslant \lambda \|\xi\|^2$ for some $\lambda > 0$ and all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ [50]. Clearly, its complexification $B^{\mathbb{C}}$ is then strictly accretive as well.

By a small abuse of notation, we will henceforth denote the complexification $B^{\mathbb{C}}$ of B just by B.

Let $\vartheta_2^* = \vartheta_2^*(B)$ be the angle of the smallest closed sector in $\mathbb{C}_+$ contaning the numerical range of B; i.e. ϑ_2^* denotes the smallest angle in $[0, \pi/2)$ for which

$$|\mathrm{Im}\,\langle B\xi, \xi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}}| \leqslant \tan\vartheta_2^* \cdot \mathrm{Re}\,\langle B\xi, \xi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}. \tag{21}$$

From (3) and the strict accretivity of B it follows that its symmetric part $B_{\mathbf{s}}$ is invertible and positive definite, and

$$\tan\vartheta_2^* = \sup\left\{ \left| \left\langle B_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1/2} B_{\mathbf{a}} B_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1/2} \xi, \xi \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}} \right| : \ \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}} = 1 \right\}.$$

The operator $B_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1/2} B_{\mathbf{a}} B_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1/2}$ is normal, therefore we may conclude that

$$\tan\vartheta_2^* = \left\| B_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1/2} B_{\mathbf{a}} B_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1/2} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}})}. \tag{22}$$

See, for example, [41, Theorem 1.4-2] or [75, Theorem 12.25].

For $1 < r < \infty$, define $\vartheta_r^* \in (0, \pi/2]$ by means of (10). We are now ready to state the convexity result for the Bellman function $\mathcal{Q}$ defined in (14).

Theorem 18. *Let $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\vartheta_2^* = \vartheta_2^*(B)$ be as above. Fix $p \in [2, \infty)$. For every $0 \leqslant \vartheta < \vartheta_p$ there exist $\delta = \delta(p, \vartheta_2, \vartheta) \in (0, 1)$ and $a_0 = a_0(p, \vartheta_2, \vartheta) > 0$ such that, if $\mathcal{Q}$ is the Bellman function* (14) *associated with δ, and*

$$C \in \{e^{i\vartheta} B, e^{-i\vartheta} B, e^{i\vartheta} B^*, e^{-i\vartheta} B^*\},$$

then

$$H_{\mathcal{Q}}^{(C,C^*)}[v;\omega] \geqslant a_0 \cdot \left\| B_{\mathbf{s}}^{1/2} \tilde{\alpha} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}} \left\| B_{\mathbf{s}}^{1/2} \tilde{\beta} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}}, \tag{23}$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \Upsilon_0$ and $\omega = (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{H}^2 \times \mathcal{H}^2$.

The proof of Theorem 18 is postponed to Section 6.

Remark 19. Theorem 18 is a generalisation of [10, Theorem 15]. Indeed, the quantity $\mathcal{R}_{\phi}(Q)[v;\omega]$ defined in [10, equation (18)] is nothing but $H_{\mathcal{Q}}^{e^{i\phi} I_{\mathbb{C}}, e^{-i\phi} I_{\mathbb{C}}}[v;\omega]$. Moreover, when $B = B^* = I_{\mathbb{C}}$ we have that $\vartheta_2^* = 0$, so that $\vartheta_p = \phi_p = \arccos|1 - 2/p|$. Therefore, [10, Theorem 15] corresponds to Theorem 18 in the particular case when $B = B^* = I_{\mathbb{C}}$. Note also that Theorem 18 can be considered as an extension of [27], where A. Volberg and the second author of the present paper proved the analogue of (23) for $\vartheta = 0$, $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and C^* replaced by C.

Regularisation. Denote by $*$ convolution in $\mathbb{R}^4$, and let $(\psi_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ be a nonnegative, smooth and compactly supported approximation to the identity in $\mathbb{R}^4$. Since $\mathcal{Q} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$ and its second-order partial derivatives exist on $\mathbb{R}^4\setminus\Upsilon_0$ and are locally integrable in $\mathbb{R}^4$, for all $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}})$

$$H^{(C,C^*)}_{\mathcal{Q}*\psi_\varepsilon}[v;\omega] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} H^{(C,C^*)}_{\mathcal{Q}}[v-v';\omega]\psi_\varepsilon(v')\,\mathrm{d}v', \tag{24}$$

for every $r>0$, $v\in\mathbb{R}^4$ and every $\omega=(\omega_1,\omega_2)\in\mathcal{H}^2\times\mathcal{H}^2$; see [76, Théorème V] and [32, Theorem 2.1].

Corollary 20. *Let $\varepsilon>0$. Under the assumptions of Theorem 18,*

$$H^{(C,C^*)}_{\mathcal{Q}*\psi_\varepsilon}[v;\omega] \geqslant a_0(p,\vartheta_2,\vartheta)\cdot\left\|B_{\mathbf{s}}^{1/2}\tilde{\alpha}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}}\left\|B_{\mathbf{s}}^{1/2}\tilde{\beta}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}},$$

for all $v\in\mathbb{R}^4$ and $\omega=(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathcal{H}^2\times\mathcal{H}^2$.

Proof. The corollary immediately follows from (24) and Theorem 18. □

6. Proof of Theorem 18

Recall that $\mathcal{H}$ is a separable real Hilbert space, B is a bounded strictly accretive operator on $\mathcal{H}$ and $B_{\mathbf{s}}$ the symmetric part of B. Recall also that by a small abuse of notation, we denote the complexification $B^{\mathbb{C}}$ of B just by B.

Take $p\geqslant 2$, $q=p/(p-1)$ and a parameter $\delta>0$ that will be fixed later. It is convenient to rewrite the Bellman function defined in (14) as a linear combination of tensor products of power functions. For $r\geqslant 0$ define the power function $F_r:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}_+$ by the rule

$$F_r(s)=|s|^r.$$

Let $\mathbf{1}$ denote the constant function of value 1 on $\mathbb{C}$; i.e. $\mathbf{1}=F_0$. Then

$$\mathcal{Q}=\begin{cases}(1+2\delta/p)F_p\otimes\mathbf{1}+[1+\delta(1-2/p)]\mathbf{1}\otimes F_q, & \text{if}\quad |\zeta|^p\geqslant|\eta|^q,\\[2ex] F_p\otimes\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{1}\otimes F_q+\delta F_2\otimes F_{2-q}, & \text{if}\quad |\zeta|^p\leqslant|\eta|^q.\end{cases}$$

Therefore, by Notation 17,

$$H^{(C,C^*)}_{\mathcal{Q}}[v;\omega]=\begin{cases}(1+2\delta/p)H^C_{F_p}[\zeta;\alpha]+[1+\delta(1-2/p)]H^{C^*}_{F_q}[\eta;\beta], & \text{if}\quad |\zeta|^p>|\eta|^q>0,\\[2ex] H^C_{F_p}[\zeta;\alpha]+H^{C^*}_{F_q}[\eta;\beta]+\delta H^{(C,C^*)}_{F_2\otimes F_{2-q}}[v;\omega], & \text{if}\quad |\zeta|^p<|\eta|^q,\end{cases} \tag{25}$$

for all $\omega=(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathcal{H}^2\times\mathcal{H}^2$.

Convexity of power functions. Note that $\mathrm{Hess}(F_2;s)=2I_{\mathbb{R}^2}$, for all $s\in\mathbb{R}^2$. Hence, for every $\xi=(\xi_1,\xi_2)\in\mathcal{H}^2$, one has

$$2\mathrm{Re}\,\langle B\tilde{\xi},\tilde{\xi}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}}=H^B_{F_2}[s;\xi]\qquad\text{and}\qquad 2\mathrm{Im}\,\langle B\tilde{\xi},\tilde{\xi}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}}=-H^{iB}_{F_2}[s;\xi].$$

Therefore, by (21), for all $s\in\mathbb{R}^2$ and every $\xi\in\mathcal{H}^2$,

$$|H^{iB}_{F_2}[s;\xi]|\leqslant\cot\vartheta_2\cdot H^B_{F_2}[s;\xi]. \tag{26}$$

Moreover, the very same estimate holds with B replaced by B^*.

We now show that an estimate analogous to (26) holds when F_2 is replaced by F_r, $r>1$, and ϑ_2 is replaced by ϑ_r, $r>1$. It turns out that this is just a reformulation of [13, Theorem 2] and [57, Theorem 3.4] (see also [14, Theorem 1.1]).

Proposition 21. *For all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}^2$ and every $s \in \mathbb{R}^2$, if $r \geqslant 2$, or every $s \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, if $1 < r < 2$, we have*

$$|H^{iB}_{F_r}[s;\xi]| \leqslant \cot \vartheta_r \cdot H^{B}_{F_r}[s;\xi].$$

Moreover, the very same estimate holds with B replaced by B^*.

Proof. Fix an orthonormal base $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$of $\mathcal{H}$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by $\mathcal{H}_n$ the finite dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ spanned by $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. Denote by P_n the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\mathcal{H}_n$ and set $B_n = P_n B|_{\mathcal{H}_n} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ identify $\mathcal{H}_n$ with $\mathbb{R}^n$ through the canonical map

$$\mathcal{I}_n : \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_j e_j \mapsto (u_1, \dots, u_n).$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ identify $\mathbb{C}^k$ with $\mathbb{R}^{2k}$ through the map $\mathcal{V} : \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{R}^{2k}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{V}(z_1, \dots, z_k) = (\operatorname{Re} z_1, \dots, \operatorname{Re} z_n, \operatorname{Im} z_1, \dots, \operatorname{Im} z_k).$$

If $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})$, then a simple calculation gives

$$r\operatorname{Re} \langle B_n \nabla f, \nabla(f|f|^{r-2})\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} = H^{B_n}_{F_r}[\mathcal{V}(f); \mathcal{V}(\nabla f)], \tag{27}$$

$$-r\operatorname{Im} \langle B_n \nabla f, \nabla(f|f|^{r-2})\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} = H^{iB_n}_{F_r}[\mathcal{V}(f); \mathcal{V}(\nabla f)], \tag{28}$$

where the two identities above have to be understood to hold everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^n$ if $r \geqslant 2$, and everywhere on $\{f(x) \neq 0\}$ if $1 < r < 2$.

Fix $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\xi \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $P_n\xi \equiv (P_n(\operatorname{Re}\xi), P_n(\operatorname{Im}\xi)) \in \mathcal{H}_n \times \mathcal{H}_n$, which identifies with $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ through $\mathcal{I}_n$ and furthermore with $\mathbb{C}^n$ through $\mathcal{V}$. Choose $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})$ in a way such that $f(0) = z$ and $\nabla f(0) = P_n\xi$. Combine (27) and (28) with the calculations in [14, Proof of Theorem 1.1] (see also [57, Theorem 4.1]) and finally pass to the limit as $n \to \infty$. The outcome is

$$H^{B}_{F_r}[\mathcal{V}(z); \mathcal{V}(\xi)] = r|z|^{r-4} \left(\langle B_{\mathbf{s}} \operatorname{Im}(\overline{z}\xi), \operatorname{Im}(\overline{z}\xi)\rangle + (r-1)\langle B_{\mathbf{s}} \operatorname{Re}(\overline{z}\xi), \operatorname{Re}(\overline{z}\xi)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\right),$$

$$H^{iB}_{F_r}[\mathcal{V}(z); \mathcal{V}(\xi)] = -r|z|^{r-4} \langle [(r-2)B_{\mathbf{s}} + rB_{\mathbf{a}}] \operatorname{Im}(\overline{z}\xi), \operatorname{Re}(\overline{z}\xi)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

(The bottom line corrects an insignificant sign misprint occurred in [14].)

Recall that, by assumptions on B, the symmetric part $B_{\mathbf{s}}$ is strictly accretive. Define

$$x = x(z,\xi) = B_{\mathbf{s}}^{1/2} \operatorname{Re}(\overline{z}\xi); \quad y = y(z,\xi) = B_{\mathbf{s}}^{1/2} \operatorname{Im}(\overline{z}\xi).$$

Then,

$$H^{B}_{F_r}[\mathcal{V}(z); \mathcal{V}(\xi)] = r|z|^{r-4} \left[\|y\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} + (r-1)\|x\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}\right],$$

$$-H^{iB}_{F_r}[\mathcal{V}(z); \mathcal{V}(\xi)] = r|z|^{r-4} \left\langle \left[(r-2)I + rB_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1/2} B_{\mathbf{a}} B_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1/2}\right] y, x \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Therefore, if we set

$$T = (r-2)I + rB_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1/2} B_{\mathbf{a}} B_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1/2},$$

we obtain

$$\left|H^{iB}_{F_r}[\mathcal{V}(z); \mathcal{V}(\xi)]\right| \leqslant r|z|^{r-4}\|T\| \cdot \|y\|_{\mathcal{H}} \cdot \|x\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leqslant \frac{\|T\|}{2\sqrt{r-1}} H^{B}_{F_r}[\mathcal{V}(z); \mathcal{V}(\xi)].$$

It remains to estimate the norm of T as an operator acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Since $B_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1/2} B_{\mathbf{a}} B_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1/2}$ is antisymmetric, by using (22) we deduce that

$$\|T\|^2 = (r-2)^2 + \left\|B_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1/2} B_{\mathbf{a}} B_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1/2}\right\|^2 = (r-2)^2 + (\tan \vartheta_2^*)^2,$$

so the proposition follows for B. The fact that it also holds for B^* follows, by repeating the proof above, from the fact that by (21) we have $\vartheta_2^*(B^*) = \vartheta_2^*(B)$. □

For every $r > 1$ and $\vartheta \geqslant 0$ set

$$\Delta(r, \vartheta) = \frac{\sin(\vartheta_r - \vartheta)}{\sin \vartheta_r}.$$

Recall that $\vartheta_q = \vartheta_p$, so that $\Delta(q, \vartheta) = \Delta(p, \vartheta)$.

Until the end of this section it will be convenient to write

$$S = B_{\mathbf{s}}^{1/2}.$$

Lemma 22. *Let $\vartheta \in [0, \pi/2]$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$. Then for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^2$ if $r \geqslant 2$, or for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ if $1 < r < 2$, we have*

$$H_{F_r}^{e^{\pm i\vartheta}B}[s; \xi] \geqslant \Delta(r, \vartheta) H_{F_r}^{B}[s; \xi],$$

and the same estimate holds with B replaced by B^*.

Proof. The lemma rapidly follows by combining (18), applied with $R = B$ or $R = B^*$ and $\Psi = F_r$, with Proposition 21. □

Lemma 23. *Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$. Then for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^2$ if $r \geqslant 2$, or for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ if $1 < r < 2$, we have*

$$H_{F_r}^{B}[s; \xi] \geqslant \min\{1, r-1\} r |s|^{r-2} \|S\tilde{\xi}\|^2$$

and the same estimate holds with B replaced by B^*.

Proof. By [10, Lemma 19] applied with $\phi = 0$, we have

$$\operatorname{Hess}(F_r; s) = \frac{r^2}{2} |s|^{r-2} \mathcal{D}_{r,0}(s),$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{r,0}(s)$ is a real symmetric matrix with

$$\det \mathcal{D}_{r,0}(s) = \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^2 \left(1 - \left|1 - \frac{2}{r}\right|^2\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{D}_{r,0}(s) = r.$$

Consequently, in the sense of quadratic forms on $\mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\operatorname{Hess}(F_r; s) \geqslant \min\{1, r-1\} r |s|^{r-2} I_{\mathbb{R}^2}. \tag{29}$$

The lemma now follows by combining (29) with (19) and (20) applied with $\Psi = F_r$ and $R = B$ or $R = B^*$. □

Corollary 24. *Suppose that $1 < r < \infty$, $0 \leqslant \vartheta \leqslant \vartheta_r$ and $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$. Let*

$$C \in \left\{ e^{i\vartheta}B, e^{-i\vartheta}B, e^{i\vartheta}B^*, e^{-i\vartheta}B^* \right\}.$$

Then, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^2$ if $r \geqslant 2$, or for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ if $1 < r < 2$, we have

$$H_{F_r}^{C}[s; \xi] \geqslant \min\{1, r-1\} r \Delta(r, \vartheta) |s|^{r-2} \|S\tilde{\xi}\|^2.$$

Proof. The corollary rapidly follows by combining Lemma 22 with Lemma 23. □

Lemma 25. *Suppose that $1 < r < 2$ and $D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}})$. Then, for every $s \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathcal{H}^2 \times \mathcal{H}^2$,*

$$H_{F_{2-r}}^{D}[s; \xi] = -2(r-1)|s|^{-r} \operatorname{Re} \langle D\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\xi} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}} + |s|^{2-2r} H_{F_r}^{D}[s; \xi].$$

Proof. An easy computation (see [10, eq. (28)]) gives,

$$\mathrm{Hess}(F_{2-r};s) = -2(r-1)|s|^{-r} I_{\mathbb{R}^2} + |s|^{2-2r}\mathrm{Hess}(F_r;s).$$

Therefore,

$$H^D_{F_{2-r}}[s;\xi] = -2(r-1)|s|^{-r}\langle \mathcal{M}(D)\xi,\xi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2} + |s|^{2-2r} H^D_{F_r}[s;\xi]$$

and the lemma now follows from (17). □

Corollary 26. *Suppose that* $1<r<2$ *and* $0\leqslant\vartheta\leqslant\vartheta_r$. *Let*

$$C\in\left\{e^{i\vartheta}B, e^{-i\vartheta}B, e^{i\vartheta}B^*, e^{-i\vartheta}B^*\right\}.$$

Then for every $s\in\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\{0\}$ *and* $\xi\in\mathcal{H}\times\mathcal{H}$,

$$H^C_{F_{2-r}}[s;\xi] \geqslant -2(r-1)\left(1+\tan\vartheta_2^*\right)|s|^{-r}\|S\tilde{\xi}\|^2.$$

Proof. By Corollary 24, $H^C_{F_r}[s;\xi]\geqslant 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 25 applied with $D=C$,

$$H^C_{F_{2-r}}[s;\xi] \geqslant -2(r-1)|s|^{-r}\mathrm{Re}\,\langle C\tilde{\xi},\tilde{\xi}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}}.$$

Now (21) and the first identity in (3) imply that

$$\mathrm{Re}\,\langle C\tilde{\xi},\tilde{\xi}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}} \leqslant |\langle B\tilde{\xi},\tilde{\xi}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}}| \leqslant (1+\tan\vartheta_2^*)\|S\tilde{\xi}\|^2.$$ □

Lemma 27. *Suppose that* $1<r<2$. *Let* $D,E\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}})$. *Then for every* $v=(\zeta,\eta)\in\mathbb{R}^2\times(\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\{0\})$ *and for all* $\omega=(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathcal{H}^2\times\mathcal{H}^2$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(D,E)}_{F_2\otimes F_{2-r}}[v;\omega] = {} & F_{2-r}(\eta)H^D_{F_2}[\zeta;\alpha] + F_2(\zeta)H^E_{F_{2-r}}[\eta;\beta] \\ & + 2(2-r)|\eta|^{-r}\langle(\eta\cdot\beta)\zeta, \mathcal{M}(D)\alpha\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2} \\ & + 2(2-r)|\eta|^{-r}\langle(\zeta\cdot\alpha)\eta, \mathcal{M}(E)\beta\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where

$$\eta\cdot\beta = \eta_1\beta_1+\eta_2\beta_2\in\mathcal{H} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad (\eta\cdot\beta)\zeta = \big((\eta\cdot\beta)\zeta_1, (\eta\cdot\beta)\zeta_2\big)\in\mathcal{H}^2.$$

Proof. The lemma follows from the definition of $H^{(D,E)}_{F_2\otimes F_{2-r}}[v;\omega]$, and the identity

$$\partial^2_{\zeta_j\eta_k}(F_2\otimes F_{2-r})(\zeta,\eta) = 2(2-q)\zeta_j\eta_k|\eta|^{-r}, \qquad \text{for } j,k=1,2.$$ □

Corollary 28. *Suppose that* $1<r<2$ *and that* $0\leqslant\vartheta\leqslant\vartheta_r$. *Let*

$$C\in\left\{e^{i\vartheta}B, e^{-i\vartheta}B, e^{i\vartheta}B^*, e^{-i\vartheta}B^*\right\}.$$

Then, for every $v=(\zeta,\eta)\in\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{R}^2$ *with* $|\zeta|^{r/(r-1)}<|\eta|^r$, *and* $\omega=(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathcal{H}^2\times\mathcal{H}^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(C,C^*)}_{F_2\otimes F_{2-r}}[v;\omega] \geqslant {} & 2\Delta(2,\vartheta)|\eta|^{2-r}\,\|S\widetilde{\alpha}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}} - 2(r-1)(1+\tan\vartheta_2^*)|\eta|^{r-2}\|S\tilde{\beta}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}} \\ & - 4(2-r)(1+\tan\vartheta_2^*)\|S\tilde{\alpha}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}}\|S\tilde{\beta}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We apply Lemma 27 with $D=C$ and $E=C^*$. In order to estimate the first two terms in the right-hand side of (30) we use Corollary 24 with $r=2$ (note that $\vartheta\leqslant\vartheta_r<\vartheta_2$) and Corollary 26.

We now estimate the last two terms in the right hand side of (30). By (17),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle(\eta\cdot\beta)\zeta, \mathcal{M}(C)\alpha\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2} &= \mathrm{Re}\left\langle C\tilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{(\eta\cdot\beta)\zeta}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}} \leqslant \left|\left\langle B\tilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{(\eta\cdot\beta)\zeta}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}}\right| \\ \langle(\zeta\cdot\alpha)\eta, \mathcal{M}(C^*)\beta\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2} &= \mathrm{Re}\left\langle C^*\tilde{\beta}, \widetilde{(\zeta\cdot\alpha)\eta}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}} \leqslant \left|\left\langle B\tilde{\beta}, \widetilde{(\zeta\cdot\alpha)\eta}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}}\right|. \end{aligned}$$

By (21) and the generalised Cauchy-Schwarz inequality [72, Proposition 1.8] we have

$$|\langle Bz, w\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}}| \leqslant (1 + \tan\vartheta_2^*)\,\|Sz\|\,\|Sw\|\,. \tag{31}$$

for $z, w \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}$. One quickly sees that

$$\left\|\widetilde{S(\eta\cdot\beta)\zeta}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}} \leqslant |\zeta||\eta|\|S\tilde{\beta}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}}$$

and similarly for $(\zeta\cdot\alpha)\eta$. The corollary now follows. □

Proof of Theorem 18. Suppose that $|\zeta|^p > |\eta|^q > 0$. Then $|\zeta|^{p-2} > |\eta|^{2-q}$, and for every $\delta > 0$ the inequality (23) with $a_0 = 2\Delta(p,\vartheta)$ follows from (25) and Corollary 24 applied first with $r = p$ and then with $r = q$.

Suppose now that $|\zeta|^p < |\eta|^q$. Then by (25) and Corollary 24 applied with $r = p$,

$$H_{\mathcal{Q}}^{(C,C^*)}[v;\omega] \geqslant H_{F_q}^{C^*}[\eta;\beta] + \delta H_{F_2\otimes F_{2-q}}^{(C,C^*)}[v;\omega].$$

We now apply Corollary 24 and Corollary 28, both with $r = q$. To conclude it is now enough to take $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small (with respect to q, ϑ_2 and ϑ) so that the following 2×2 matrix is positive definite:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \delta\Delta(2,\vartheta) & 2\delta(1+\tan\vartheta_2^*)(q-2) \\ 2\delta(1+\tan\vartheta_2^*)(q-2) & \{q\Delta(q,\vartheta) - 2\delta(1+\tan\vartheta_2^*)\}(q-1)/2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

With this choice of δ inequality (23) holds with

$$a_0 = a_0(p,\vartheta_2,\vartheta) = \sqrt{2\delta q(q-1)}\Delta(q,\vartheta).$$ □

7. Proof of Theorem 10

Set $B = Q_\infty A^*$. Inequality (1) together with Remark 6 imply that

$$\omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{L}_r) \geqslant \omega(\mathscr{L}_r) = \vartheta_r^*.$$

Now let us prove the opposite inequality. For $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ set

$$\mathscr{L}f = \nabla_\infty^*(B\nabla f) \quad\text{and}\quad \mathscr{L}^*f = \nabla_\infty^*(B^*\nabla f). \tag{32}$$

We stress the fact that $\mathscr{L}^*$ is the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator associated with a sesquilinear form of the type (8), but with B replaced by B^*. Recall that $\mathscr{L}_s$ and $\mathscr{L}_s^*$ denote the realisation on $L^s(\mu_\infty)$ of $\mathscr{L}$ and $\mathscr{L}^*$, respectively. By means of the theory of sesquilinear forms [50, 72], $(\mathscr{L}_2)^* = \mathscr{L}_2^*$. It follows that

$$(\mathscr{L}_r)^* = \mathscr{L}_{r/(1-r)}^*, \quad 1 < r < \infty.$$

Therefore, it is enough to prove that Theorem 10 holds for all $r = p \geqslant 2$ for both $\mathscr{L}_p$ and $\mathscr{L}_p^*$.

Fix $p > 2$ and $0 \leqslant \vartheta < \vartheta_p$. By Theorem 15, it suffices to prove that there exist $\delta > 0$ and a corresponding Bellman function $\mathcal{Q}$ defined by (14) such that (15) holds for the two one-to-one operators $\mathscr{L}_p(I - \mathscr{P}_p)$ and $\mathscr{L}_p^*(I - \mathscr{P}_p)$, where $\mathscr{P}_p$ is the projection onto the null space defined by (13).

Lemma 29. *Let (X,μ) be a finite measure space. Let $r \in [1,\infty]$ and let $r' = r/(r-1)$. Suppose that (F_n) and (G_n) are two sequences of measurable functions with the following properties.*

(i) *$F_n \in L^r(\mu)$ and converges to F in $L^r(\mu)$.*
(ii) *There exists $C_0 > 0$ such that $\sup_n \|G_n\|_{r'} \leqslant C_0$.*
(iii) *G_n converges almost everywhere to a function G which belongs to $L^{r'}(\mu)$.*

Then $(F_nG_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to FG in $L^1(\mu)$.

Proof. Write

$$F_nG_n - FG = (F_n - F)G + F(G - G_n)\mathbb{1}_{\{|G_n|\leqslant|G|+1\}} + (F\mathbb{1}_{\{|G_n|>|G|+1\}}).(G - G_n)$$

Now use Hölder inequality and Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. □

Since $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a core for $\mathscr{L}_p$ and $\mathscr{L}_p^*$, and the partial derivatives of $\mathcal{Q}$ satisfy the second estimate in Proposition 13, by Lemma 29 it is enough to show that (15) holds for all $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{L}$ or $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{L}^*$.

Choose $\delta \in (0,1)$ and the corresponding $\mathcal{Q}$ as in Theorem 18. Fix $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. A straightforward integration by parts based on (32) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathrm{Re}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(e^{\pm i\vartheta}(\partial_\zeta\mathcal{Q}*\psi_\varepsilon)(f,g)\mathscr{L}f + e^{\mp i\vartheta}(\partial_\eta\mathcal{Q}*\psi_\varepsilon)(f,g)\mathscr{L}^*g\Big)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\infty \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H_{\mathcal{Q}*\psi_\varepsilon}^{\left(e^{\pm i\vartheta}B, e^{\mp i\vartheta}B^*\right)}\left[(f,g);(\nabla f,\nabla g)\right]\,\mathrm{d}\gamma_\infty\,. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

It follows from Corollary 20, applied with $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $B = Q_\infty A^*$, that for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathrm{Re}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(e^{\pm i\vartheta}(\partial_\zeta\mathcal{Q}*\psi_\varepsilon)(f,g)\mathscr{L}f + e^{\mp i\vartheta}(\partial_\eta\mathcal{Q}*\psi_\varepsilon)(f,g)\mathscr{L}^*g\Big)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\infty \\ \geqslant a_0\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left\|B_{\mathbf{s}}^{1/2}\nabla f\right\|\left\|B_{\mathbf{s}}^{1/2}\nabla g\right\|\,\mathrm{d}\gamma_\infty\,. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}\in C^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$, one has that $\partial_\zeta\mathcal{Q}*\psi_\varepsilon$ and $\partial_\eta\mathcal{Q}*\psi_\varepsilon$ converge pointwise respectively to $\partial_\zeta\mathcal{Q}$ and $\partial_\eta\mathcal{Q}$ on $\mathbb{R}^4$, as $\varepsilon\to 0_+$. Therefore, by the second estimate in Proposition 13 and Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathrm{Re}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(e^{\pm i\vartheta}(\partial_\zeta\mathcal{Q})(f,g)\mathscr{L}f + e^{\mp i\vartheta}(\partial_\eta\mathcal{Q})(f,g)\mathscr{L}^*g\Big)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\infty \\ \geqslant a_0\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left\|B_{\mathbf{s}}^{1/2}\nabla f\right\|\left\|B_{\mathbf{s}}^{1/2}\nabla g\right\|\,\mathrm{d}\gamma_\infty\,. \end{aligned}$$

By integrating by parts and using the identities (32) and (31), we see that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathscr{L}f\cdot\overline{g}\,\mathrm{d}\gamma_\infty\right| \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\langle B\nabla f,\nabla g\rangle|\,\mathrm{d}\gamma_\infty \leqslant (1+\tan\vartheta_2^*)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left\|B_{\mathbf{s}}^{1/2}\nabla f\right\|\left\|B_{\mathbf{s}}^{1/2}\nabla g\right\|\,\mathrm{d}\gamma_\infty.$$

It follows that $(\mathscr{L},\mathbb{R}^n,\gamma_\infty)$ satisfies (15) for all $f,g\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $C_0 = a_0/(1+\tan\vartheta_2^*)$. By copying the same proof but with B replaced by B^*, we see that the same conclusion holds also for $(\mathscr{L}^*,\mathbb{R}^n,\gamma_\infty)$, which finishes the proof of Theorem 10. □

Remark 30. The integration by parts (33) was the main reason for introducing Notation 17 and studying Theorem 18.

Remark 31. Our proof of Theorem 10 does not use a priori the analyticity of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup proved in [13, Theorem 2] (see Proposition 5). However, it is based on Theorem 18, whose proof makes use of a calculation contained in [13] and [14] that, in turn, is equivalent to [13, Theorem 2] (see Proposition 21).

8. Infinite dimensional setting

The main sources for the background material presented in this section are [39, 56, 57, 79] and the books [6, 47, 70]. The interested reader should also consult [22, 23, 24].

We consider a real separable Banach space E. We denote by E^* its dual and by $\langle x, x^*\rangle$, $x \in E$, $x^* \in E^*$ the dual paring.

We say that $Q \in \mathcal{B}(E^*, E)$ is nonnegative if $\langle Qx^*, x^*\rangle \geqslant 0$, for all $x^* \in E^*$, and symmetric if $\langle Qx^*, y^*\rangle = \langle Qy^*, x^*\rangle$, for all $x^*, y^* \in E^*$.

Gaussian measures on E [6]. For each $\sigma \geqslant 0$ the centered Gaussian measure γ_σ of variance σ^2 in $\mathbb{R}$ is the Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$ defined by $\gamma_0 = \delta_0$ and

$$\mathrm{d}\gamma_\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \sigma > 0.$$

A Borel probability measure γ on E is called (centred) Gaussian if for all $x^* \in E^*$ the push forward $x^*_\sharp\gamma$ is a centred Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}$.

If γ is a Gaussian measure on E, then the covariance operator $Q \in \mathcal{B}(E^*, E)$ associated with γ is defined by the Bochner integral

$$Qx^* = \int_E \langle x, x^*\rangle x \,\mathrm{d}\gamma(x), \quad x^* \in E^*.$$

By Fernique's theorem (see [6, Corollary 2.8.6]) Gaussian measures have finite moments of every order. It follows that Q is well defined, nonnegative and symmetric. Moreover, for each $x^* \in E^*$ the measure $x^*_\sharp\gamma$ is of variance $\langle Qx^*, x^*\rangle$.

Reproducing kernel Hilbert space [39, 79]. Let $Q \in \mathcal{B}(E^*, E)$ be symmetric and nonnegative. Consider on $\mathrm{R}(Q)$ the bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_Q}$ defined by

$$\langle Qx^*, Qy^*\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_Q} = \langle Qx^*, y^*\rangle, \quad x^*, y^* \in E^*.$$

The bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_Q}$ is well defined and induces on $\mathrm{R}(Q)$ a scalar product. The reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) $\mathcal{H}_Q$ associated with Q is the completion of $\mathrm{R}(Q)$ with respect to the norm induced by the inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_Q}$.

The identity map $I : \mathrm{R}(Q) \to E$ extends to a continuous embedding $i_Q : \mathcal{H}_Q \hookrightarrow E$. Moreover, we have the factorisation

$$i_Q i_Q^* = Q.$$

Hypothesis ($\mathbf{H}\gamma_\infty$). Consider a symmetric and nonnegative operator $Q \in \mathcal{B}(E^*, E)$, and a strongly continuous semigroup $(S(t))_{t>0}$ on E of generator $-A$.

By [79, Proposition 1.2] the function $s \mapsto S(s)QS^*(s)x^*$ is strongly measurable. Therefore, for each $t > 0$ we can define the symmetric nonnegative operator $Q_t \in \mathcal{B}(E^*, E)$ by the formula

$$Q_t x^* = \int_0^t S(s)QS^*(s)x^* \,\mathrm{d}s, \quad x^* \in E^*,$$

where the integral exists as a Bochner integral on E.

When the semigroup $(S(t))_{t>0}$ is not uniformly exponentially stable, it might happen that the (weak) $\lim_{t\to\infty} Q_t x^*$ does not exist for all $x^* \in E^*$.

Moreover, in contrast to the finite dimensional case, it is not always true that every nonnegative symmetric operator in $\mathcal{B}(E^*, E)$ is the covariance of a Gaussian measure on E; for example if E is a Hilbert space, then the class of covariance operators of Gaussian measures coincides with the class of all nonnegative symmetric operators of

trace class on E. We refer the interested reader to [6, 39, 79] for several examples and comments.

Following [39, 79], we say that Hypotesis $(\mathbf{H}\gamma_\infty)$ holds if

(i) for all $x^* \in E^*$, the weak-$\lim_{t\to\infty} Q_t x^*$ exists in E;
(ii) the symmetric nonnegative operator $Q_\infty \in \mathcal{B}(E^*, E)$ defined by

$$Q_\infty x^* = \text{weak}-\lim_{t\to\infty} Q_t x^*, \quad x^* \in E^*$$

is the covariance of a Gaussian measure γ_∞ on E.

It can be proved that $(\mathbf{H}\gamma_\infty)$ implies that for all $t > 0$ the operator Q_t is the covariance of a Gaussian measure on E which we denote by γ_t; see, for example, [39, Section 7].

Notation 32. We denote by $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ the reproducing kernel Hilbert space associated with Q_∞, and we set $i_\infty = i_{Q_\infty}$.

Lemma 33 ([79, Theorem 6.2])**.** *If (part (i) of) hypothesis $(\mathbf{H}\gamma_\infty)$ holds, then the subspace $i_\infty(\mathcal{H}_\infty)$ is invariant for the action of the semigroup $(S(t))_{t>0}$ and the equation*

$$i_\infty \circ S_\infty(t) = S(t) \circ i_\infty, \quad t > 0,$$

defines a strongly continuous contraction semigroup $(S_\infty(t))_{t>0}$ on $\mathcal{H}_\infty$.

Notation 34. We denote by $-A_\infty$ the generator of $(S_\infty(t))_{t>0}$ on $\mathcal{H}_\infty$.

In the rest of this paper we will always assume $(\mathbf{H}\gamma_\infty)$. Note however that some of the results that we will recall still hold true under weaker assumptions. The interested reader should consult [39, 79] and the references contained therein.

Paley-Wiener isometry. Note that $i_\infty^*(E^*)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_\infty$, because i_∞ is injective. The map $\phi : i_\infty^* x^* \mapsto \langle \cdot, x^* \rangle$ extends to an isometry $\phi : \mathcal{H}_\infty \to L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\gamma_\infty)$ [56, Proposition 1.12]. The map ϕ is called Paley-Wiener isometry. We will use the notation $\phi_h = \phi(h)$, $h \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$.

Cylindrical functions. If H_0 is a linear subspace of H_∞ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}C_b^k(E; H_0)$ the vector space of all, γ_∞-almost everywhere defined, functions $f : E \to \mathbb{C}$ of the form

$$f(x) = \varphi(\phi_{h_1}(x), \dots, \phi_{h_n}(x)), \tag{34}$$

where $n \geqslant 1$, $\varphi \in C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H_0$. If H_0 is a dense subspace of H_∞, then $\mathcal{F}C_b^k(E; H_0)$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, is dense in $L^r(\gamma_\infty)$, whenever $1 \leqslant r < \infty$; see, for example, [56, Lemma 1.28].

Second quantisation. The Paley-Wiener isometry can be used to construct the Wiener-Itô chaos decomposition of $L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\gamma_\infty)$ (see [47, Chapter 2] and [70, Theorem 1.1.1]), which identifies $L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\gamma_\infty)$ with the symmetric Fock space associated with $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ [47, Chapter IV and Appendix E]. This identification allows us to associate with each contraction $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\infty)$ a contraction $\Gamma(T) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\gamma_\infty))$, where Γ denotes the real second quantisation functor. Analogously, we can associate with each contraction $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\infty^{\mathbb{C}})$ a contraction $\Gamma^{\mathbb{C}}(U) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\gamma_\infty))$, where $\Gamma^{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the complex second quantisation functor. For a contraction $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\infty)$ one has $\Gamma(T)^{\mathbb{C}} = \Gamma^{\mathbb{C}}(T^{\mathbb{C}})$; see [47, Appendix E]. The interested reader should consult also [15, 56, 69, 77, 79] and the references therein.

Lyapunov equation. The operator Q_∞ is the minimal solution of the Lyapunov equation

$$Q_\infty A^* + AQ_\infty = Q;$$

meaning that $Q_\infty x^* \in \mathrm{D}(A)$ and $Q_\infty A^* x^* + AQ_\infty x^* = Qx^*$, for all $x^* \in \mathrm{D}(A^*)$; see [39, Theorem 4.4].

Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup with invariant measure. The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup $(T(t))_{t>0}$ associated with $(S(t))_{t>0}$ and Q is defined on $C_b(E)$ by the Kolmogorov's formula

$$T(t)f(x) = \int_E f(S(t)x + y)\,\mathrm{d}\gamma_t(y), \quad x \in E, \quad t \geqslant 0.$$

The measure γ_∞ is invariant for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. Moreover, for every $r \in [1, \infty]$, $(T(t))_{t>0}$ extends to a positivity preserving semigroup of contractions on $L^r(\gamma_\infty)$, which is strongly continuous for $1 \leqslant r < \infty$ and weak*-continuous for $r = \infty$; see [79, Section 2].

Notation 35. For $1 < r < \infty$, denote by $-\mathscr{L}_r$ the generator of $(T(t))_{t>0}$ on $L^r(\gamma_\infty)$.

It was proved in [15, Theorem 1] (see also [79, Theorem 6.12]) that on $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$ the semigroup $(T(t))_{t>0}$ coincides with the complexification of the second quantisation of $(S^*_\infty(t))_{t>0}$; i.e.

$$T(t) = \Gamma\left(S^*_\infty(t)\right)^{\mathbb{C}} = \Gamma^{\mathbb{C}}\left(S^*_\infty(t)^{\mathbb{C}}\right), \quad t > 0. \tag{35}$$

In particular, for every cylindrical function $f = \varphi(\phi_{h_1}, \dots, \phi_{h_n}) \in \mathcal{F}C_b(E; \mathcal{H}_\infty)$ we have the Mehler's formula:

$$\begin{aligned} T(t)f(x) = \int_E \varphi\big(&\phi_{S^*_\infty(t)h_1}(x) + \phi_{\sqrt{I - S_\infty(t)S^*_\infty(t)}h_1}(y), \dots \\ &\dots, \phi_{S^*_\infty(t)h_n}(x) + \phi_{\sqrt{I - S_\infty(t)S^*_\infty(t)}h_n}(y)\big)\,\mathrm{d}\gamma_\infty(y). \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

By [39, Proposition 2.4] the semigroup $(S^*_\infty(t))_{t>0}$ is strongly exponentially stable.

The infinite-dimensional analogue of (13) now follows from the continuity of the Paley-Wiener isometry, from (36) and from the density of cylindrical functions in $L^r(\gamma_\infty)$: for every $r \in [1, \infty)$ the projection $\mathscr{P}_r$ onto $\mathrm{N}(\mathscr{L}_r)$ is given by

$$\mathscr{P}_r f = \lim_{t\to\infty} T(t)f = \int_E f\,\mathrm{d}\gamma_\infty, \quad f \in L^p(\gamma_\infty). \tag{37}$$

Analyticity of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. As we already remarked in Remark 12, the semigroup $(T(t))_{t>0}$ may fail to be analytic in $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$ on some sector of positive angle of the complex plane. It follows from (35) that $(T(t))_{t>0}$ has a bounded analytic extension in $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$ on the sector $\mathbf{S}_\vartheta$, $\vartheta > 0$, if and only if $(S^*_\infty(t)^{\mathbb{C}})_{t>0}$ (or equivalently $(S_\infty(t)^{\mathbb{C}})_{t>0}$) extends to a contractive analytic semigroup on $\mathbf{S}_\vartheta$; if this is the case, then $(T(t))_{t>0}$ is a contraction in $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$ on $\mathbf{S}_\vartheta$ [39, Theorem 8.1].

Next result characterises analytic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups.

Proposition 36 ([57, Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.2])**.** *The following assertions are equivalent.*

(i) *The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup $(T(t))_{t>0}$ is analytic on $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$ in some sector of positive angle.*

(ii) *There exists a unique operator* $B_Q \in \mathcal{B}(H_Q)$ *such that*

$$i_Q B_Q i_Q^* x^* = Q_\infty A^* x^*, \quad x^* \in \mathrm{D}(A^*).$$

In this case we have that

$$B_Q + B_Q^* = I. \tag{38}$$

Assumption. Being interested in holomorphic functional calculus, in the rest of this paper we will always assume that $(T(t))_{t>0}$ is analytic on $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$, meaning that it has a contractive analytic extension on some sector of positive angle of the complex plane.

Directional gradient. It can be shown [38, Lemma 5.2] that $\mathrm{N}(i_\infty^*) \subseteq \mathrm{N}(i_Q^*)$. Therefore, the densely defined operator

$$V : i_\infty^*(E^*) \subseteq H_\infty \to H_Q; \quad V i_\infty^* x^* = i_Q^* x^*, \quad x^* \in E^* \tag{39}$$

is well defined. Moreover, since $(T(t))_{t>0}$ is analytic, V is closable [39, Proposition 8.7]. We still denote by V its closure. We have the following decomposition of A_∞^* [56, Theorem 2.16]:

$$A_\infty^* = V^* B_Q V, \quad \mathrm{D}(A_\infty^*) = \{h \in \mathrm{D}(V) : B_Q V h \in \mathrm{D}(V^*)\}; \tag{40}$$

i.e. A_∞^* is the operator associated with the bilinear form

$$\mathfrak{b}(g,h) = \langle B_Q V g, V h\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_Q}, \quad \mathrm{D}(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathrm{D}(V).$$

For each $r \in [1,\infty)$ the directional gradient in the direction of V is the densely defined operator

$$D_V : \mathcal{FC}_b^1(E; \mathrm{D}(V)) \subseteq L^r(\gamma_\infty) \to L^r(\gamma_\infty; \mathcal{H}_Q^{\mathbb{C}}),$$

defined by the rule

$$D_V f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j \varphi(\phi_{h_1}(x), \dots, \phi_{h_n}(x)) \otimes V h_j, \tag{41}$$

whenever f is of the form (34).

Notation 37. For every $r \in (1,\infty)$, the operator D_V is closable; see [38, Theorem 3.5] and [39, Proposition 8.7]. We still denote by D_V its closure. If $1 < r < \infty$, denote by $\mathrm{D}_r(D_V)$ the domain of D_V as a closed operator from $L^r(\gamma_\infty)$ to $L^r(\gamma_\infty; \mathcal{H}_Q^{\mathbb{C}})$, and by $D_r(D_V^*)$ the domain of its adjoint.

Divergence form for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator. Recall that $-\mathscr{L}_r$ denotes Ornstein-Uhlembeck operator on $L^r(\gamma_\infty)$, $1 < r < \infty$. We still denote by B_Q the complexification of the operator in Proposition 36.

Proposition 38 ([57, Theorem 2.3, Proposition 2.4] and [58, Lemma 4.8])**.** *The generator* $\mathscr{L}_2$ *coincides with the operator associated with the densely defined, closed, continuous and accretive sesquilinear form*

$$\mathfrak{a}(f,g) := \int_E \langle B_Q D_V f, D_V g\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_Q^{\mathbb{C}}} \, \mathrm{d}\gamma_\infty, \quad \mathrm{D}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathrm{D}_2(D_V).$$

In other terms, we have

$$\mathscr{L}_2 := D_V^* B_Q D_V, \quad \mathrm{D}(\mathscr{L}_2) = \{f \in \mathrm{D}_2(D_V) : \ B_Q D_V f \in \mathrm{D}_2(D_V^*)\}. \tag{42}$$

If $1 < r < \infty$*, then* $\mathcal{FC}_b^\infty(E; \mathrm{D}(A_\infty^*))$ *is a core for* $\mathscr{L}_r$*.*

The theory of sesquilinear forms [50, 72] implies that $(\mathscr{L}_2)^*$ and A_∞ are associated, respectively, with the adjoint sequilinear form $\mathfrak{a}^*$ and the adjoint bilinear form $\mathfrak{b}^*$. Moreover, $(-\mathscr{L}_2)^*$ is the generator of the semigroup $(\Gamma^{\mathbb{C}}(S_\infty(t)^{\mathbb{C}}))_{t>0}$. The following result is now a consequence of [58, Lemma 4.8].

Proposition 39 ([57,58])**.** *The generator $(\mathscr{L}_2)^*$ coincides with the operator associated with the densely defined, closed, continuous and accretive sesquilinear form*

$$\mathfrak{a}^*(f,g) := \int_E \langle B_Q^* D_V f, D_V g\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_Q^{\mathbb{C}}}\, \mathrm{d}\gamma_\infty, \quad \mathrm{D}(\mathfrak{a}^*) = \mathrm{D}_2(D_V).$$

In other terms, we have

$$(\mathscr{L}_2)^* := D_V^* B_Q^* D_V, \quad \mathrm{D}((\mathscr{L}_2)^*) = \{f \in \mathrm{D}_2(D_V) :\ B_Q^* D_V f \in \mathrm{D}_2(D_V^*)\}. \tag{43}$$

If $1 < r < \infty$, then $\mathcal{FC}_b^\infty(E; \mathrm{D}(A_\infty))$ is a core for $(\mathscr{L}_r)^*$.

Sector of analyticity of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. Assume $(\mathbf{H}\gamma_\infty)$ and suppose that the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup $(T(t))_{t>0}$ is analytic. Let $\vartheta_2^* = \vartheta_2^*(B_Q)$ be the angle defined in (21), but with $B = B_Q$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_Q$.

By combining (22) with the Lyapunov equation (38), we obtain that

$$\vartheta_2^* = \vartheta_2^*(B_Q) = \arctan \|B_Q - B_Q^*\|, \tag{44}$$

and $\sigma(B_Q) = 1/2 + \sigma((B_Q)_2)$, where $\sigma((B_Q)_2) \subset i\mathbb{R}$. By the spectral theorem for normal operators, the spectral radius of $(B_Q)_2$ coincides with $\|B_Q - B_Q^*\|/2$. Therefore, ϑ_2^* coincides with the spectral angle of B_Q on $\mathcal{H}_Q^{\mathbb{C}}$; see also [13, Remark 2].

Notation 40. For $1 < r < \infty$ define ϑ_r^* as in (10). Recall the notation $\vartheta_r = \pi/2 - \vartheta_r^*$.

The following result extends to the infinite dimensional setting [13, Theorem 2, Remark 6] (see Proposition 5) and removes the nondegeneracy assumption on the diffusion operator Q.

Proposition 41 ([57, Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5])**.** *Suppose that $1 < r < \infty$. Then,*

(i) *$(T(t))_{t>0}$ extends to an analytic contraction semigroup on $L^r(\gamma_\infty)$ in the sector $\mathbf{S}_{\vartheta_r}$.*
(ii) *If $(T(t))_{t>0}$ extends to a bounded analytic semigroup on $L^r(\gamma_\infty)$ in the sector $\mathbf{S}_\vartheta$, for some $\vartheta \in (0, \pi/2)$, then $\vartheta \geqslant \vartheta_r$.*

As a consequence of Proposition 41 we have $\omega(\mathscr{L}_r) = \vartheta_r^*$, $1 < r < \infty$.

9. H^∞-calculus for infinite dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operators

In this section we fix a real separable Banach space E, a nonnegative symmetric operator $Q \in \mathcal{B}(E^*, E)$ and a strongly continuous semigroup $(S(t))_{t>0}$ on E. We assume hypothesis $(\mathbf{H}\gamma_\infty)$ and we suppose that the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup $(T(t))_{t>0}$ associated with Q and $(S(t))_{t>0}$ is analytic. Let B_Q be the operator in Proposition 36, and let $\vartheta_2^* = \vartheta_2^*(B_Q)$ be the angle defined in (44). For every $r \in (1, \infty)$ let $\vartheta_r^* = \vartheta_r^*(B_Q)$ be defined by means of (10).

Theorem 42. *Let $1 < r < \infty$. Then,*

$$\omega_{H^\infty}(\mathscr{L}_r) = \omega(\mathscr{L}_r) = \vartheta_r^*.$$

Moreover, for every $\vartheta > \vartheta_r^*$ there exists $C > 0$, which depends only on r, ϑ and ϑ_2^*, such that

$$\|m(\mathscr{L}_r)f\|_r \leqslant C\|m\|_\vartheta \|f\|_r, \quad f \in L_0^r(\gamma_\infty),$$

for all $m \in H^\infty(\mathbf{S}_\vartheta)$.

Proof. The proof of the theorem is an adaptation of that of Theorem 10. First notice that by (37) we have $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(\mathscr{L}_r) = L_0^r(\gamma_\infty)$. Recall that, by Proposition 38 and Proposition 39, the space $\mathcal{F}C_b^\infty(E; \mathrm{D}(A_\infty^*))$ is a core for $\mathscr{L}_r$ and $\mathcal{F}C_b^\infty(E; \mathrm{D}(A_\infty))$ is a core for $\mathscr{L}_r^*$.

We first prove the theorem for $r = p > 2$. By arguing as in the proof of Theorem 10 in Section 7, we see that it suffices to prove that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that the corresponding Bellman function $\mathcal{Q}$, defined by (14), satisfies the integral condition (15) in Theorem 15 with $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{L}_p$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}C_b^\infty(E; \mathrm{D}(A_\infty^*))$, all $g \in \mathcal{F}C_b^\infty(E; \mathrm{D}(A_\infty))$ and every $\vartheta < \vartheta_p$.

Choose $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and the corresponding $\mathcal{Q}$ as in Theorem 18. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, $f \in \mathcal{F}C_b^\infty(E; \mathrm{D}(A_\infty^*))$ and $g \in \mathcal{F}C_b^\infty(E; \mathrm{D}(A_\infty))$. Since $\partial_\zeta \mathcal{Q} * \psi_\varepsilon, \partial_\eta \mathcal{Q} * \psi_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbb{C})$ and since by (40) we have that $\mathrm{D}(A_\infty^*) \subseteq \mathrm{D}(V)$ and $\mathrm{D}(A_\infty) \subseteq \mathrm{D}(V)$, it follows that

$$\partial_\zeta \mathcal{Q} * \psi_\varepsilon(f, g) \in \mathcal{F}C_b^\infty(E; \mathrm{D}(V)) \subseteq \mathrm{D}_2(D_V), \quad \partial_\eta \mathcal{Q} * \psi_\varepsilon(f, g) \in \mathcal{F}C_b^\infty(E; \mathrm{D}(V)) \subseteq \mathrm{D}_2(D_V).$$

Therefore, by Proposition 38 and Proposition 39, the right hand side of (15) with $\mathcal{Q}$ replaced by $\mathcal{Q} * \psi_\varepsilon$ and with $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{L}_2$ can be rewritten as

$$\mathrm{Re} \int_E \left\{ \langle e^{\pm i\vartheta} B_Q D_V f, D_V[\partial_\zeta \mathcal{Q} * \psi_\varepsilon(f, g)] \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_Q^\mathbb{C}} + \langle e^{\mp i\vartheta} B_Q^* D_V g, D_V[\partial_\eta \mathcal{Q} * \psi_\varepsilon(f, g)] \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_Q^\mathbb{C}} \right\} \mathrm{d}\gamma_\infty \,.$$

It follows from (41) and from Definition 17 that the sum of the real part of the inner products inside the integral above equals

$$H_{\mathcal{Q} * \psi_\varepsilon}^{\left(e^{\pm i\vartheta} B_Q, e^{\mp i\vartheta} B_Q^*\right)} [(f, g); (D_V f, D_V g)] \,.$$

We now apply Corollary 20 with $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_Q$ and $B = B_Q$, and we finish the proof of the theorem for $p > 2$ exactly as in the proof of Theorem 10 (see Section 7). The theorem for $r = q = p/(p-1) < 2$ follows by a duality argument. □

Acknowledgements. The first author was partially supported by the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM). The second author was partially supported by the Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Technology of Slovenia (research program Analysis and Geometry, contract no. P1-0291).

References

[1] D. Bakry, *Sur l'interpolation complexe des semigroupes de diffusion*, Séminaire de Probabilités, XXIII, 1989, pp. 1–20. ↑7

[2] J. Bennett, A. Carbery, M. Christ, and T. Tao, *The Brascamp-Lieb Inequalities: Finiteness, Structure and Extremals*, Geom. and Funct. Anal. **17** (2008), 1343–1415. ↑12

[3] J. Bennett, *Heat-flow monotonicity related to some inequalities in Euclidean analysis*, Harmonic analysis and partial differential equations, 2010, pp. 85–96. ↑12

[4] S. Blunck and P. C. Kunstmann, *Calderón-Zygmund theory for non-integral operators and the H^∞ functional calculus*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **19** (2003), 919–942. ↑2

[5] V. I. Bogachev, M. Röckner, and B. Schmuland, *Generalized Mehler semigroups and applications*, Probab. Theory Rel. **105** (1996), no. 2, 193–225. ↑4

[6] V. I. Bogachev, *Gaussian measures*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 62, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. ↑20, 21
[7] D. L. Burkholder, *Boundary value problems and sharp inequalities for martingale transforms*, Ann. Prob. **12** (1984), no. 3, 647–702. ↑10
[8] D. L. Burkholder, *A proof of Pełczyński's conjecture for the Haar system*, Studia Math. **1** (1988), 79–83. ↑10
[9] D. L. Burkholder, *Explorations in martingale theory and its applications*, École d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XIX —1989, 1991, pp. 1–66. ↑10
[10] A. Carbonaro and O. Dragičević, *Functional calculus for generators of symmetric contraction semigroups*, Duke Math. J. **(to appear)**. http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.1338. ↑3, 7, 9, 10, 11, 14, 16, 17
[11] A. Carbonaro and O. Dragičević, *Bellman function and dimension-free estimates in a theorem of Bakry*, J. Funct. Anal. **265** (2013), 1085–1104. ↑9, 10, 12
[12] R. V. Chacon and U. Krengel, *Linear modulus of a linear operator*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964), 553–559. ↑2
[13] R. Chill, E. Fašangová, G. Metafune, and D. Pallara, *The sector of analyticity of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup in L^p spaces with respect to invariant measure*, J. London Math. Soc. **71** (2005), 703–722. ↑3, 4, 6, 7, 15, 20, 24, 25
[14] R. Chill, E. Fašangová, G. Metafune, and D. Pallara, *The sector of analyticity of nonsymmetric submarkovian semigroups generated by elliptic operators*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **342** (2006), no. 12, 909–914. ↑4, 15, 16, 20
[15] A. Chojnowska-Michalik and B. Goldys, *Nonsymmetric Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup as second quantized operator*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **36** (1996), 481–498. ↑4, 22
[16] J. L. Clerc and E. M. Stein, *L^p-multipliers for noncompact symmetric spaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **71** (1974), 3911–3912. ↑3
[17] R. R. Coifman, R. Rochberg, and G. Weiss, *Applications of transference: the L^p version of von Neumann's inequality and the Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory*, Linear spaces and approximation (Proc. Conf., Math. Res. Inst., Oberwolfach), Internat. Ser. Numer. Math., birkhäuser, Basel, 1978, pp. 53–67. ↑2, 8
[18] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Transference methods in analysis*, Conference board of the mathematical sciences regional conference series in mathematics, 1976. ↑2, 8
[19] M. Couwling, *Harmonic analysis on semigroups*, Ann. Math. (2) **117** (1983), 267–283. ↑2, 8
[20] M. Cowling, I. Doust, A. McIntosh, and A. Yagi, *Banach space operators with a bounded H^∞ functional calculus*, Austral. Math. Soc. **60** (1996), 51–89. ↑1, 2, 3, 8, 11
[21] M. Crouzeix and B. Delyon, *Some estimates for analytic functions of strip or sectorial operators*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **81** (2003), no. 5, 559–566. ↑8
[22] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Second order partial differential equations in Hilbert spaces*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 293, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. ↑4, 20
[23] G. Da Prato, *An introduction to infinite dimensional analysis*, Appunti dei Corsi Tenuti da Docenti della Scuola. [Notes of Courses Given by Teachers at the School], Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 2001. ↑20
[24] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*, Second, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 152, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014. ↑20
[25] K. Domelevo and S. Petermichl, *Sharp L^p estimates for discrete second order Riesz transforms*, Adv. Math. **262** (2014), 932–952. ↑12
[26] O. Dragičević and A. Volberg, *Bellman functions and dimensionless estimates of Littlewood-Paley type*, J. Operator Theory **56** (2006), no. 1, 167–198. ↑9, 12
[27] O. Dragičević and A. Volberg, *Bilinear embedding for real elliptic differential operators in divergence form with potentials*, J. Funct. Anal. **261** (2011), no. 10, 2816–2828. ↑9, 12, 14
[28] O. Dragičević and A. Volberg, *Linear dimension-free estimates in the embedding theorem for Schrödinger operators*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **85** (2012), no. 1, 191–222. ↑9, 10, 12
[29] X. T. Duong and D. W. Robinson, *Semigroup kernels, Poisson bounds, and holomorphic functional calculus*, J. Funct. Anal. **142** (1996), no. 1, 89–128. ↑2
[30] X. T. Duong, *H_∞ functional calculus of second order elliptic partial differential operators on L^p spaces*, Miniconference on Operators in Analysis (Sydney, 1989), 1990, pp. 91–102. ↑2, 8

[31] X. T. Duong and A. MacIntosh, *Singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels on irregular domains*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **15** (1999), no. 2, 233–265. ↑2
[32] A. Eberle, *Uniqueness and non-uniqueness of semigroups generated by singular diffusion operators*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1718, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. ↑6, 14
[33] K.-J. Engel and R. Nagel, *One-parameter semigroups for linear evolution equations*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 194, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. With contributions by S. Brendle, M. Campiti, T. Hahn, G. Metafune, G. Nickel, D. Pallara, C. Perazzoli, A. Rhandi, S. Romanelli and R. Schnaubelt. ↑7
[34] J. B. Epperson, *The hypercontractive approach to exactly bounding an operator with complex Gaussian kernel*, J. Funct. Anal. **87** (1989), no. 1, 1–30. ↑3, 7
[35] G. Fendler, *Dilations of one parameter semigroups of positive contractions on L^p spaces*, Canad. J. Math. **49** (1997), no. 4, 736–748. ↑2
[36] M. Fuhrman, *Analyticity of transition semigroups and closability of bilinear forms in Hilbert spaces*, Studia Math. **115** (1995), no. 1, 53–71. ↑4, 9
[37] J. García-Cuerva, G. Mauceri, S. Meda, P. Sjögren, and J. L. Torrea, *Functional calculus for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator*, J. Funct. Anal. **183** (2001), no. 2, 413–450. ↑3, 9
[38] B. Goldys, F. Gozzi, and J. M. A. M. van Neerven, *On closability of directional gradients*, Potential Anal. **18** (2003), no. 4, 289–310. ↑4, 23, 24
[39] B. Goldys and J. M. A. M. van Neerven, *Transition semigroups of Banach space-valued Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes*, Acta Appl. Math. **76** (2003), no. 3, 283–330. ↑4, 9, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24
[40] B. Goldys, *On analyticity of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl. **10** (1999), no. 3, 131–140. ↑4, 9
[41] K. E. Gustafson and D. K. M. Rao, *Numerical range*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. The field of values of linear operators and matrices. ↑13
[42] M. Haase, *The functional calculus for sectorial operators*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 169, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006. ↑1, 7, 8, 11
[43] M. Haase, *Form inequalities for symmetric contraction semigroups*, Preprint (2015), available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1503.02895`. ↑7
[44] M. Haase, P. C. Kunstmann, and H. Vogt, *On the numerical range of generators of symmetric L_∞-contractive semigroups*, Preprint (2016), available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.08862`. ↑7
[45] W. Hebisch, G. Mauceri, and S. Meda, *Holomorphy of spectral multipliers of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator*, J. Funct. Anal. **210** (2004), no. 1, 101–124. ↑3
[46] L. Hörmander, *Estimates for translation invariant operators in L^p spaces*, Acta Math. **104** (1960), 93–140. ↑3
[47] S. Janson, *Gaussian Hilbert spaces*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 129, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. ↑20, 22
[48] N. J. Kalton, *A remark on sectorial operators with an H^∞-calculus*, Trends in Banach spaces and operator theory (Memphis, TN, 2001), 2003, pp. 91–99. ↑2
[49] N. J. Kalton and L. Weis, *The H^∞-calculus and sums of closed operators*, Math. Ann. **321** (2001), no. 2, 319–345. ↑2, 8
[50] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Second, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 132. ↑6, 13, 19, 24
[51] U. Krengel, *Ergodic theorems*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 6, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1985. With a supplement by Antoine Brunel. ↑2
[52] Ch. Kriegler, *Analyticity angle for non-commutative diffusion semigroups*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **83** (2011), no. 1, 168–186. ↑2, 7
[53] P. C. Kunstmann and Ž. Štrkalj, *H^∞-calculus for submarkovian generators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 7, 2081–2088 (electronic). ↑2
[54] V. A. Liskevich and M. A. Perel′muter, *Analyticity of sub-Markovian semigroups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), no. 4, 1097–1104. ↑7
[55] L. Lorenzi and M. Bertoldi, *Analytical methods for Markov semigroups*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (Boca Raton), vol. 283, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2007. ↑5, 6, 7
[56] J. Maas, *Analysis of infinite dimensional diffusions*, Ph.D. Thesis, 2009. ↑4, 20, 22, 23
[57] J. Maas and J. van Neerven, *On analytic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups in infinite dimensions*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **89** (2007), no. 3, 226–236. ↑3, 4, 15, 20, 23, 24, 25
[58] J. Maas and J. van Neerven, *Boundedness of Riesz transforms for elliptic operators on abstract Wiener spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **257** (2009), no. 8, 2410–2475. ↑8, 24

[59] G. Mauceri, S. Meda, and P. Sjögren, *Sharp estimates for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **3** (2004), no. 3, 447–480. ↑3, 9
[60] A. McIntosh, *Operators which have an H_∞ functional calculus*, Miniconference on operator theory and partial differential equations (North Ryde, 1986), 1986, pp. 210–231. ↑1, 2, 8
[61] A. McIntosh and A. Yagi, *Operators of type ω without a bounded H_∞ functional calculus*, Miniconference on Operators in Analysis (Sydney, 1989), 1990, pp. 159–172. ↑2
[62] G. Metafune, D. Pallara, and E. Priola, *Spectrum of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operators in L^p spaces with respect to invariant measures*, J. Funct. Anal. **196** (2002), no. 1, 40–60. ↑5
[63] G. Metafune, J. Prüss, A. Rhandi, and R. Schnaubelt, *The domain of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on an L^p-space with invariant measure*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **1** (2002), no. 2, 471–485. ↑5
[64] S. G. Mihlin, *On the multipliers of Fourier integrals*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) **109** (1956), 701–703. ↑3
[65] S. G. Mihlin, *Fourier integrals and multiple singular integrals*, Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. Ser. Mat. Meh. Astr. **12** (1957), no. 7, 143–155. ↑3
[66] F. Nazarov, S. Treil, and A. Volberg, *The Bellman functions and two-weight inequalities for Haar multipliers*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), no. 4, 909–928. ↑9
[67] F. Nazarov, S. Treil, and A. Volberg, *Bellman function in stochastic control and harmonic analysis*, Systems, approximation, singular integral operators, and related topics (Bordeaux, 2000), 2001, pp. 393–423. ↑10
[68] F. L. Nazarov and S. R. Treĭl′, *The hunt for a Bellman function: applications to estimates for singular integral operators and to other classical problems of harmonic analysis*, Algebra i Analiz **8** (1996), no. 5, 32–162. ↑9, 10
[69] E. Nelson, *The free Markoff field*, J. Functional Analysis **12** (1973), 211–227. ↑22
[70] D. Nualart, *The Malliavin calculus and related topics*, Second, Probability and its Applications (New York), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. ↑20, 22
[71] A. Osękowski, *Sharp martingale and semimartingale inequalities*, Mathematics Institute of the Polish Academy of Sciences. Mathematical Monographs (New Series), vol. 72, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2012. ↑10
[72] E. M. Ouhabaz, *Analysis of heat equations on domains*, London Mathematical Society Monographs Series, vol. 31, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005. ↑6, 18, 19, 24
[73] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 44, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983. ↑11
[74] S. Petermichl and A. Volberg, *Heating of the Ahlfors-Beurling operator: weakly quasiregular maps on the plane are quasiregular*, Duke Math. J. **112** (2002), no. 2, 281–305. ↑12
[75] W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, Second, International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1991. ↑13
[76] L. Schwartz, *Théorie des distributions*, Publications de l'Institut de Mathématique de l'Université de Strasbourg, No. IX-X. Nouvelle édition, entiérement corrigée, refondue et augmentée, Hermann, Paris, 1966. ↑14
[77] B. Simon, *The $P(\phi)_2$ Euclidean (quantum) field theory*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1974. Princeton Series in Physics. ↑22
[78] E. M. Stein, *Topics in harmonic analysis related to the Littlewood-Paley theory.*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 63, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1970. ↑2
[79] J. M. A. M. van Neerven, *Nonsymmetric Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups in Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **155** (1998), no. 2, 495–535. ↑4, 20, 21, 22
[80] A. Volberg and F. Nazarov, *Heat extension of the Beurling operator and estimates for its norm*, Algebra i Analiz **15** (2003), no. 4, 142–158. ↑12
[81] A. Volberg, *Bellman approach to some problems in harmonic analysis,* Exposé n° XIX, Ecole Polytéchnique (2002), 14. ↑10
[82] J. Wittwer, *Survey article: a user's guide to Bellman functions*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **41** (2011), no. 3, 631–661. ↑10

Andrea Carbonaro, Università degli Studi di Genova, Dipartimento di Matematica, Via Dodecaneso, 35 16146 Genova, Italy
E-mail address: carbonaro@dima.unige.it

Oliver Dragičević, University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Jadranska 21, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

E-mail address: oliver.dragicevic@fmf.uni-lj.si